## Freeness and Graph Sums

#### Jamie Mingo (Queen's University)

based on joint work with Roland Speicher and Mihai Popa



## Análise funcional e sistemas dinâmicos Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina February 23, 2015

### GUE random matrices

- (Ω, P) is a probability space
- $X_N : \Omega \to M_N(\mathbf{C})$  is a random matrix
- $X_N = X_N^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (x_{ij})_{ij}$  a  $N \times N$  self-adjoint random matrix with  $x_{ij}$  independent complex Gaussians with  $E(x_{ij}) = 0$ and  $E(|x_{ij}|^2) = 1$  (modulo self-adjointness)
- $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N$  eigenvalues of  $X_N$ ,  $\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} (\delta_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + \delta_{\lambda_N})$  is the spectral measure of  $X_N$ ,  $\int t^k d\mu_N(t) = \operatorname{tr}(X_N^k)$

 $X_N$  is the  $N \times N$  GUE with limiting

 eigenvalue distribution given by Wigner's semi-circle law



# Wigner and Universality

 in the physics literature *universality* refers to the fact that the limiting eigenvalue distribution is semi-circular even if we don't assume the entries are Gaussian





#### random variables and their distributions

- $(\mathcal{A}, \phi)$  unital algebra with state;
- ► C(x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>s</sub>) is the unital algebra generated by the non-commuting variables x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>s</sub>
- ► the *distribution* of  $a_1, ..., a_s \in (\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$  is the state  $\mu : \mathbf{C}\langle x_1, ..., x_s \rangle \to \mathbf{C}$  given by  $\mu(p) = \varphi(p(a_1, ..., a_s))$
- convergence in distribution of {a<sub>1</sub><sup>(N)</sup>,...,a<sub>s</sub><sup>(N)</sup>} ⊂ (A<sub>N</sub>, φ<sub>N</sub>) to {a<sub>1</sub>,...,a<sub>s</sub>} ⊂ (A, φ) means pointwise convergence of distributions: μ<sub>N</sub>(p) → μ(p) for p ∈ C(x<sub>1</sub>,...,x<sub>s</sub>).
- ► let  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2}$  be the density of the Gauss law
- then  $\log(\hat{f}(is)) = \frac{s^2}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \frac{s^n}{n!}$  with  $k_2 = 1$  and  $k_n = 0$  for

 $n \neq 2$ , so the Gauss law is characterized by having all cumulants except  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  equal to 0

### Moments and Cumulants

- $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in (\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$  random variables
- a partition, π = {V<sub>1</sub>,..., V<sub>k</sub>}, of [n] = {1, 2, 3, ..., n} is a decomposition of [n] into a disjoint union of subsets:
  V<sub>i</sub> ∩ V<sub>j</sub> = Ø for i ≠ j and [n] = V<sub>1</sub> ∪ ··· ∪ V<sub>k</sub>.
- ▶ 𝒫(*n*) is set of all partitions of [*n*]
- given a family of maps  $\{k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, \}$  with  $k_n : \mathcal{A}^{\otimes n} \to \mathbb{C}$  we define

$$k_{\pi}(a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \prod_{\substack{V \in \pi \\ V = \{i_1,\ldots,i_j\}}} k_j(a_{i_1},\ldots,a_{i_j})$$

 in general moments are defined by the *moment-cumulant* formula

$$\varphi(a_1\cdots a_n)=\sum_{\pi\in\mathfrak{P}(n)}k_{\pi}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$$

►  $k_1(a_1) = \varphi(a_1)$  and  $\varphi(a_1a_2) = k_2(a_1, a_2) + k_1(a_1)k_1(a_2)$ 

## cumulants and independence

- $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $n^{th}$  cumulant of a is  $k_n^{(a)} = k_n(a, \ldots, a)$
- if  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are (classically) independent then  $k_n^{(a_1+a_2)} = k_n^{(a_1)} + k_n^{(a_2)}$  for all n
- ▶ if k<sub>n</sub>(a<sub>i1</sub>,..., a<sub>in</sub>) = 0 unless i<sub>1</sub> = ··· i<sub>n</sub> we say mixed cumulants vanish
- ▶ if mixed cumulants vanish then *a*<sub>1</sub> and *a*<sub>2</sub> are independent

free cumulants and free independence (R. Speicher)

- ► partition with a crossing: 1 2 3 4
- non-crossing partition:  $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4$
- $NC(n) = \{ \text{ non-crossing partitions of } [n] \}$
- $\varphi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} \kappa_{\pi}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  defines the *free*

*cumulants*: same rules apply as for classical independence.

#### freeness and asymptotic freeness

- if *a* and *b* are free with respect to  $\varphi$  then  $\varphi(abab) = \varphi(a^2)\varphi(b)^2 + \varphi(a)^2\varphi(b^2) - \varphi(a)^2\varphi(b)^2$
- in general if a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>s</sub> are free then all *mixed moments* φ(x<sub>i<sub>1</sub></sub>...x<sub>i<sub>n</sub></sub>) can be written as a polynomial in the moments of individual moments {φ(a<sub>i</sub><sup>k</sup>)}<sub>i,k</sub>.
- ►  $\{a_1^{(N)}, \ldots, a_s^{(N)}\} \subset (\mathcal{A}_N, \varphi_N)$  are *asymptotically free* if  $\mu_n \to \mu$ and  $x_1, \ldots, x_s$  are free with respect to  $\mu$
- in practice this means: a<sub>1</sub><sup>(N)</sup>,..., a<sub>s</sub><sup>(N)</sup> ∈ (A<sub>n</sub>, φ<sub>N</sub>) are asymptotically free if whenever we have b<sub>i</sub><sup>(N)</sup> ∈ alg(1, a<sub>ji</sub><sup>(N)</sup>) is such that φ<sub>N</sub>(b<sub>i</sub><sup>(N)</sup>) = 0 and j<sub>1</sub> ≠ j<sub>2</sub> ≠ ··· ≠ j<sub>m</sub> we have φ<sub>N</sub>(b<sub>1</sub><sup>(N)</sup> ··· b<sub>m</sub><sup>(N)</sup>) → 0

simple distributions: Wigner and Marchenko-Pastur

- ► let  $f(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2}$  be the density of the Gauss law
- then  $\log(\hat{f}(is)) = \frac{s^2}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n \frac{s^n}{n!}$  with  $k_2 = 1$  and  $k_n = 0$  for

 $n \neq 2$ , so the Gauss law is characterized by having all cumulants except  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  equal to 0

- $\mu$  a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $G(z) = \int (z-t)^{-1} d\mu(t)$  is the Cauchy transform of  $\mu$  and  $R(z) = G^{\langle -1 \rangle}(z) - \frac{1}{z} = \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 z + \kappa_3 z^2 + \cdots$  is the *R*-transform of  $\mu$
- if  $d\mu(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{4-t^2} dt$  is the *semi-circle* law we have  $\kappa_n = 0$  except for  $\kappa_2 = 1$
- ► if 1 < c and  $a = (1 \sqrt{c})^2$  and  $b = (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$  we let  $d\mu = \frac{\sqrt{(b-t)(t-a)}}{2\pi t} dt$ ,  $\mu$  is the *Marchenko-Pastur* distribution:  $\kappa_n = c$  for all n

random matrices and asymptotic freeness

- $X_N = X_N^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} (x_{ij})_{ij}$  a  $N \times N$  self-adjoint random matrix with  $x_{ij}$  independent complex Gaussians with  $E(x_{ij}) = 0$ and  $E(|x_{ij}|^2) = 1$  (modulo self-adjointness)
- Voiculescu's big theorem: for large N mixed moments of X<sub>N</sub> and Y<sub>N</sub> are close to those of freely independent semi-circular operators (thus *asymptotically free*)



• (*with M. Popa*) transposing a matrix can free it from itself

### Wishart Random Matrices

Suppose G<sub>1</sub>,..., G<sub>d1</sub> are d<sub>2</sub> × p random matrices where G<sub>i</sub> = (g<sub>jk</sub><sup>(i)</sup>)<sub>jk</sub> and g<sub>jk</sub><sup>(i)</sup> are complex Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and (complex) variance 1, i.e. E(|g<sub>jk</sub><sup>(i)</sup>|<sup>2</sup>) = 1. Moreover suppose that the random variables {g<sub>jk</sub><sup>(i)</sup>}<sub>ij,k</sub> are independent.

$$W = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} \left( \underbrace{\frac{G_1}{\vdots}}{G_{d_1}} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} G_1^* \mid \cdots \mid G_{d_1}^* \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{d_1 d_2} (G_i G_j^*)_{ij}$$

is a  $d_1d_2 \times d_1d_2$  Wishart matrix. We write  $W = d_1^{-1}(W(i, j))_{ij}$  as  $d_1 \times d_1$ block matrix with each entry the  $d_2 \times d_2$  matrix  $d_2^{-1}G_iG_j^*$ .



Partial Transposes on  $M_{d_1}(\mathbf{C}) \otimes M_{d_2}(\mathbf{C})$ 

- $\cdot G_i$  a  $d_2 \times p$  matrix
- $W(i,j) = \frac{1}{d_2}G_iG_j^*$ , a  $d_2 \times d_2$  matrix,
- $W = \frac{1}{d_1}(W(i,j))_{ij}$  is a  $d_1 \times d_1$  block matrix with entries W(i,j)
- $W^{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{1}{d_1} (W(j, i)^{\mathrm{T}})_{ij}$  is the "full" transpose
- $W^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{1}{d_1} (W(j, i))_{ij}$  is the "left" partial transpose
- ·  $W^{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{d_1} (W(i, j)^{T})_{ij}$  is the "right" partial transpose

• we **assume** that 
$$\frac{p}{d_1 d_2} \rightarrow c$$
,  $0 < c < \infty$ 

- eigenvalue distributions of W and  $W^T$  converge to Marchenko-Pastur with parameter c
- ► eigenvalues of W<sup>T</sup> and W<sup>Γ</sup> converge to a shifted semi-circular with mean *c* and variance *c* (Aubrun, 2012)
- ► W and W<sup>T</sup> are asymptotically free (M. and Popa, 2014)
- ► (main theorem) the matrices {W, W<sup>T</sup>, W<sup>Γ</sup>, W<sup>T</sup>} form an asymptotically free family

# graphs and graphs sums (with Roland Speicher)

 a *graph* means a finite oriented graph with possibly loops and multiple edges



 a *graph sum* means attach a matrix to each edge and sum over vertices



## graph sums and their growth

► given G = (V, E) a graph and an assignment  $e \mapsto T_e \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$  we have a graph sum

$$S_G(T) = \sum_{i:V \to [N]} \prod_{e \in E} t_{i_{t(e)}i_{s(e)}}^{(e)}$$

▶ problem find "best"  $r(G) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for all *T* we have

$$|S_G(T)| \leqslant N^{r(G)} \prod_{e \in E} ||T_e||$$

► for example:  $|S_G(T_1, T_2, T_3)| \leq N^{3/2} ||T_1|| ||T_2|| ||T_3||$  when



< □ > < □ > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

# finding the growth (J.F.A. 2012)



- a edge is *cutting* is its removal disconnects the graph
- a graph is *two-edge connected* if it has no cutting edge
- a *two-edge connected component* is a two-edge connected subgraph which is maximal
- we make a quotient graph whose vertices are the two-edge connected components on the old graph and the edges are the cutting edges of the old graph
- r(G) is <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> the number of leaves on the quotient graph (*always a union of trees*)

# Conclusion: traces and graph sums

- ► X = W<sup>T</sup> is the partially transposed Wishart matrix, but now we **no longer** assume entries are Gaussian
- we let  $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be  $d_1d_2 \times d_1d_2$  constant matrices
- compute  $E(Tr(XA_1XA_2 \cdots XA_n))$ ; when  $A_i = I$  we get the  $n^{th}$  moment of the eigenvalue distribution
- integrating out the X's leaves a sum of graph sums, one for each partition π ∈ P(n)



THM: the only  $\pi$ 's for which  $r(G_{\pi})$  is large enough (n/2 + 1 in this case) are non-crossing partitions with blocks of size 1 or 2 (corresponding to the free cumulants  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ ) THM: method extends to showing that  $\{W, W_{\star, D}^{T}, W_{\star, D}^{\Gamma}, W_{\star, D}^{T}\}$  ass. free