# 1 Kaplanski conjectures

### 1.1 Group algebras and the statements of Kaplanski's conjectures

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group and K is a field. The group algebra  $K\Gamma$  is the K-algebra of formal finite linear combinations

$$k_1\gamma_1 + \ldots + k_n\gamma_n$$

of elements of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in K. A typical element a of  $K\Gamma$  can be denoted by

$$\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma$$

where the coefficients  $a_{\gamma} \in K$  are zero for all but finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The operations on  $K\Gamma$  are defined by

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right) + \left(\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \gamma\right) = \sum_{\gamma} \left(a_{\gamma} + b_{\gamma}\right) \gamma$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right) \left(\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \gamma\right) = \sum_{\gamma} \left(\sum_{\rho \rho' = \gamma} a_{\rho} b_{\rho'}\right) \gamma$$

Several conjectures concerning  $K\Gamma$  are attributed to Kaplanski:

- Zero divisors conjecture: KΓ has no zero divisors;
- Nilpotent elements conjecture:  $K\Gamma$  has no nilpotent elements;
- Idempotent elements conjecture: the only idempotent elements of  $K\Gamma$  are 0 and 1;
- Units conjecture: the only units of  $K\Gamma$  are  $k\gamma$  for  $k \in K \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ;
- Finiteness conjecture:  $K\Gamma$  is directly finite, i.e. ab = 1 for  $a, b \in K\Gamma$  implies ba = 1;
- Values of traces on idempotent elements: if  $\tau_0$  is the trace on  $K\Gamma$  defined by

$$\tau_{0}\left(a\right) = a_{1r}$$

and b is an idempotent element of  $K\Gamma$  then  $\tau_0(b)$  belongs to the prime field  $K_0$  of K.

Recall that a **trace** on a K-algebra A is a K-linear functional  $\tau : A \to K$  such that  $\tau (ab) = \tau (ba)$  for  $a, b \in A$ .

#### 1.2 Zalesskii's theorem

Among the conjectures mentioned, only the one concerning values of traces on idempotent elemements has been established in full generality. This is the content of a theorem of Zalesskii from [3].

**Theorem 1** If  $p \in K\Gamma$  is an idempotent element and  $\tau$  is a trace on  $K\Gamma$ , then  $\tau(p)$  belongs to the prime field  $K_0$  of K.

Let us consider the particular case when K is a finite field of characteristic p. If  $n \geq 0$  and  $a \in K\Gamma$ , define  $\tau_n(a)$  to be the sum of the coefficients of a corresponding to elements of  $\Gamma$  of order  $p^n$ . In particular  $\tau_0(a)$  is the coefficient of a corresponding to the identity element  $1_{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$ .

**Exercise 2** Show that  $\tau_n$  is a trace on  $K\Gamma$  for every  $n \ge 0$ , i.e.  $\tau_n$  is a K-linear map such that  $\tau(ab) = \tau(ba)$ .

**Lemma 3** Recall that K is supposed to be a finite field of characteristic p. Show that if  $\tau$  is any trace on  $K\Gamma$  then

$$\tau\left(\left(a+b\right)^{p}\right) = \tau\left(a^{p}\right) + \tau\left(b^{p}\right)$$

for every  $a, b \in K\Gamma$ . Thus by induction

$$au\left(a^{p}
ight) = \sum_{\gamma} a^{p}_{\gamma} \tau\left(\gamma^{p}
ight).$$

The latter identities can be referred to as "Frobenius under trace", in analogy with the corresponding identity for elements of a field of characteristic p. Suppose now that  $e \in K\Gamma$  is an idempotent element. We want to show that  $\tau(e)$  belongs to the prime field  $K_0$  of K. To this purpose it is enough to show that  $\tau(e)^p = \tau(e)$ . For  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n \left( e \right) &= \tau_n \left( e^p \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma} e^p_{\gamma} \tau_n \left( \gamma^p \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma| = p^{n+1}} e^p_{\gamma} \\ &= \left( \sum_{|\gamma| = p^{n+1}} e_{\gamma} \right)^p \\ &= \tau_{n+1} \left( e \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0 \left( e \right) &= \tau_0 \left( e^p \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma} e^p_{\gamma} \tau_0 \left( \gamma^p \right) \\ &= \sum_{|\gamma|=1} e^p_{\gamma} + \sum_{|\gamma|=p} e^p_{\gamma} \\ &= \tau_0 \left( e \right)^p + \tau_1 \left( e \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

From these identities it is easy to prove by induction that

$$\tau_0(e) = \tau_0(e)^p + \tau_n(e)^{p'}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since e has finite support, there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tau_n(e) = 0$ . This implies that  $\tau_0(e) = \tau_0(e)^p$  and hence  $\tau_0(e) \in K_0$ .

The proof of the general case of Zalesskii's theorem can be inferred from this particular case. The details can be found in [2].

#### 1.3 The complex case of Kaplanski's finiteness conjecture

The particular instance of Kaplanski's finiteness conjecture for the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  asserts that for any group  $\Gamma$  the complex group algebra  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  is directly finite. This case can be treated by means of functional analysis and operator algebras. Recall that the complex group algebra  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$  can be embedded into the group von Neumann algebra  $L\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ . Moreover the trace  $\tau_0$  on  $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ defined by  $\tau_0(a) = a_{1\Gamma}$  can be extended to a faithful normalized trace  $\tau_0$  on  $L\Gamma$ . Thus the complex case of Kaplanski's finiteness conjecture is a consequence of the following result.

**Theorem 4** If M is a von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful finite trace  $\tau$ , then M is a directly finite algebra.

Assume that M is a von Neumann algebra an  $\tau$  is a faithful normalized trace on M. If  $x, y \in M$  are such that xy = 1 then  $yx \in M$  is an idempotent element such that

$$\tau\left(yx\right) = \tau\left(xy\right) = \tau\left(1\right) = 1.$$

It is thus enough to prove that if  $e \in M$  is an idempotent element such that  $\tau(e) = 1$  then e = 1. This is equivalent to the assertion that if  $e \in M$  is an idempotent element such that  $\tau(e) = 0$  then e = 0. This assertion is proved in Lemma 5 (cf. Lemma 2.1 in [2]).

**Lemma 5** If M is a von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful finite trace  $\tau$  and  $e \in M$  is an idempotent such that  $\tau(e) = 0$ , then e = 0.

**Proof.** The conclusion is obvious if e is a self-adjoint idempotent element (i.e. a projection). In fact in this case

$$\tau\left(e\right) = \tau\left(e^*e\right) = 0$$

implies e = 0 by faithfulness of  $\tau$ . In order to establish the general case it is enough to show that if  $e \in M$  is idempotent, then there is a self-adjoint invertible element z of M such that  $f = ee^*z^{-1}$  is a projection and  $\tau(e) = \tau(f)$ . Define

$$z = 1 + (e^* - e)^* (e^* - e)$$

Observe that z is an invertible element (see [1], II.3.1.4) commuting with e. It is not difficult to check that  $f = ee^*z^{-1}$  has the required properties.

## 1.4 Kaplanski's finiteness conjecture for finite fields and Gottschalk's conjecture

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group and A is a finite set. Denote by  $A^{\Gamma}$  the set of  $\Gamma$ -sequences of elements of A. The product topology on  $A^{\Gamma}$  with respect to the discrete topology on A is compact metrizable. The *Bernoulli shift* of  $\Gamma$  with alphabet A is the left action of  $\Gamma$  on  $A^{\Gamma}$  defined by

$$\rho \cdot \left(a_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} = \left(a_{\rho^{-1}\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}.$$

A continuous function  $f: A^{\Gamma} \to A^{\Gamma}$  is *equivariant* if it preserves the Bernoulli action, i.e.  $f(\rho \cdot x) = \rho \cdot f(x)$  for every  $x \in A^{\Gamma}$ . **Gottschalk's surjunctivity conjecture** asserts that if  $f: A^{\Gamma} \to A^{\Gamma}$  is a continuous injective equivariant function, then f is surjective.

Gottschalk's surjunctivity conjecture implies Kaplanski's finiteness conjecture for finite groups. Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group and K is a *finite* field. Consider the Bernoulli action of  $\Gamma$  with alphabet K. Denote the element  $(a_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of  $K^{\Gamma}$ by  $\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma}$ . Observe that the group algebra  $K\Gamma$  can be regarded as a subset of  $K^{\Gamma}$ . Defining

$$\left(\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \gamma\right) = \sum_{\gamma} \left(\sum_{\rho \rho' = \gamma} a_{\rho} b_{\rho'}\right) \gamma$$

for  $\sum_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} \gamma \in K^{\Gamma}$  and  $\sum_{\gamma} b_{\gamma} \gamma \in K\Gamma$  gives a right action of  $K\Gamma$  on  $K^{\Gamma}$  extending the multiplication operation in  $K\Gamma$  and commuting with the left action of  $\Gamma$  on  $K\Gamma$ . Suppose that  $a, b \in K\Gamma$  are such that  $ab = 1_{\Gamma}$ . Define the continuous equivariant map  $f: K^{\Gamma} \to K^{\Gamma}$  by  $f(x) = x \cdot a$ . Observe that for every  $x \in K^{\Gamma}$ 

$$x = x \cdot ab = (x \cdot a) \cdot b = f(x) \cdot b.$$

It follows that f is injective. Gottschalk's conjecture for  $\Gamma$  implies that f is also surjective. In particular there is  $x_0 \in K^{\Gamma}$  such that  $x_0 \cdot a = f(x_0) = 1_{\Gamma}$ . In particular

$$b = 1_{\Gamma} \cdot b = (x_0 \cdot a) \cdot b = x_0 \cdot (ab) = x_0 \cdot 1_{\Gamma} = x_0.$$

Therefore

$$1_{\Gamma} = x_0 \cdot a = ba$$

### 1.5 Kervaire-Laudenbach conjecture

Suppose  $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_l \in \Gamma$  and define the monomial

$$w\left(x\right) = x^{n_1}\gamma_1\dots x^{n_l}\gamma_l$$

where  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., l. Consider the following problem: Determine if the equation

$$w\left(x\right) = 1$$

has a solution in some group extending  $\Gamma$ . The answer in general is "no". Consider for example the equation

$$xax^{-1}b^{-1} = 1$$

If a and b are different orders then clearly this equation has no solution in any group extending  $\Gamma$ . Assuming that the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{l} n_i$  of the exponents of x in w(x) is nonzero is a way to rule out this obstruction. A conjecture attributed to Kervaire and Laudenbach asserts that this is enough to guarantee the existence of a solution of the equation w(x) = 1 in some group extending  $\Gamma$ .

# References

- B. Blackadar, Operator algebras, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Theory of C\*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III
- [2] M. Burger and A. Valette, Idempotents in complex group rings: theorems of Zalesskii and Bass revisited, Journal of Lie Theory, Volume 8 (1998)
- [3] A. Zalesskii, On a problem of Kaplansky, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 203 (1972)