Local Volatility Calibration in Commodity Markets

Vinicius Albani

Collaborators: J. Zubelli and A. De Cezaro.

IMPA

11/04/2013

© V. Albani (IMPA)



11/04/2013

Required properties:

- Robustness.
- Reliability.
- Simple calibration.

Desirable property: implied smile adherence.

A well-known model in equity markets: Dupire's Local Volatility.

Applications: Calendar spread options, path dependent options, ...



• For each future we have options with only one maturity.

- WTI oil: three business days before the termination of trading in the underlying futures contract.
- HH natural gas: the business day immediately preceding the expiration of the underlying futures contract.
- HO heating oil: three business days before the expiration of the underlying futures contract.
- RBOB: three business days before the expiration of the underlying futures contract.

© V. Albani (IMPA)

Source: CME webpage.

Conclusion: We do not have a surface of option prices on each future.



Important features:

- In commodity markets convenience yield is one important feature.
- Market vanilla option prices are American and then are more expensive than the European ones.
- We need to extract European from American prices.
- The inverse problem associated to American pricing is much harder: There is no framework similar to Dupire's equation for pricing American options. Then, the forward problem should be solved for each strike and maturity.

© V. Albani (IMPA)

We pass to the transformation of American in European prices. This is based on the framework introduced by Black [Bla76].



Black's Framework

Under the risk-neutral measure, with constant coefficients:

- r is the risk-free interest rate,
- σ is Black's volatility,
- *d* is the convenience yield.
- S_t is the commodity spot price, satisfying

$$dS_t = S_t((r-d)dt + \sigma d\widetilde{W}_t)$$

• *F*_{*t*,*T*} is the commodity future, satisfying

$$dF_{t,T} = \sigma F_{t,T} d\widetilde{W}_t$$

• They are related by $F_{t,T} = e^{(r-d)(T-t)}S_t$ European call options on $F_{t,T}$ satisfy Black's equation:

$$-C_t = rac{1}{2}\sigma C_{ff}, ext{ for } t \geq 0, ext{ } t > 0,$$

with the terminal condition:

$$C(T, f) = (f - K)^+$$
, for $f \ge 0$.



5 / 58

11/04/2013

American options under Black-Scholes [WHD95]:

$$x := \log(S/K)$$
 and $\tau = (T-t)\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2$

Then we have the linear complementary problem:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} (u_{\tau}-u_{xx})\geq 0, \qquad (u(x,\tau)-g(x,\tau))\geq 0, \\ \\ (u_{\tau}-u_{xx}) \quad \cdot \quad (u(x,\tau)-g(x,\tau))=0, \end{array} \right.$$

where, for $\kappa = (r - d) / \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)$,

$$g(x,\tau) = e^{\frac{1}{4}(\kappa+1)^2\tau} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2}(\kappa+1)x} - e^{\frac{1}{2}(\kappa-1)x} \right)^+$$
 for a call.

The boundary conditions are:

$$u(x,0) = g(x,0) \text{ and } \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} u(x,\tau) = \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} g(x,\tau)$$

Then, call prices are given by $C(S,t) = Ke^{-\frac{1}{2}(\kappa-1)x + \frac{1}{4}(\kappa+1)^2\tau} u(x,\tau)$

Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

11/04/2013

6 / 58

- Transforming American prices in European ones,
- Then we could use Dupire's framework.
- Another possibility is the following:
 - Find the American implied vol. from market option prices.
 - Inter use Black's formula to find European prices.

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{AME}} \overset{\mathsf{B-S}}{\longmapsto} \overset{\mathsf{AME}}{\longmapsto} \sigma_{\mathsf{AME}} \overset{\mathsf{B-S}}{\longmapsto} \overset{\mathsf{Formula}}{\longmapsto} \mathcal{C}_{\mathsf{EUR.}}$$



HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:10/28/2013





Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

© V. Albani (IMPA)

11/04/2013 8 / 58

HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:11/25/2013





Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

1/04/2013 9 / 58

HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:12/26/2013





10/58

Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

11/04/2013

HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:01/28/2014





11/58

11/04/2013

HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:02/25/2014





12/58

11/04/2013

HH Nat. Gas Implied Vol. - Mat.:03/26/2014



We present also one important feature of Commodity futures.



Correlations

Futures on the same commodity for different maturities are highly correlated.



Figure: Example: Future prices and daily log-returns of Henry Hub nat. gas.

© V. Albani (IMPA)



Example: Future prices and daily log-returns of Henry Hub nat. gas.



Figure: Minimum of correlations between daily log-returns - first and second tests.





Figure: Example: Future prices and daily log-returns of WTI oil.





Figure: Minimum of correlations between daily log-returns - first and second tests.

We present now some features of the present model.

• Under this framework, the term-structure is given by:

- The current curve of future prices $F_{0,T}$, for T > 0
- The local volatility surface.
- The model would work fine for short maturity options and a small term-structure curve, since it has only one factor.
- We can form a unique surface of normalized option prices on futures with different maturities.

© V. Albani (IMPA)

- Dupire's formula is not stable in practice, since the inverse problem is ill-posed.
- We apply usual calibration procedures, e.g. Tikhonov regularization.

In what follows, we present the theoretical aspects of the model.



- $(\Omega, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}})$ risk neutral filtered probability space.
- Commodity futures are the underlying assets.
- $F_{t,T}$ denotes the future price at time $t \ge 0$ with maturity $T \ge t$.
- S_t denotes the (unknown) spot price at time t > 0.
- $F_{t,T} = \widetilde{\mathbb{E}}[S_T | \mathcal{F}_t]$, then $\{F_{t,T}\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ is a martingale.

Then, we assume that, $F_{t,T}$ satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} dF_{t,T} = \sigma(F_{0,T}, t, F_{t,T})F_{t,T}d\widetilde{W}_t, \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq T \\ F_{0,T} \text{ is given and } F_{T,T} = S_T. \end{cases}$$

© V. Albani (IMPA)

Now, the PDE for pricing call options.

19/58

Fix the current time at t = 0, European call options satisfy, with $T \le T'$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial C}{\partial T} &= \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(F_{0,T'},T,K)K^2\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial K^2}, \ 0 < T < T', \ K \ge 0\\ \lim_{K \to 0} C(T,K) &= F_{0,T'}, \ 0 < T < T', \\ \lim_{K \to +\infty} C(T,K) &= 0, \ 0 < T < T', \\ C(T,K) &= (F_{0,T'} - K)^+, \ \text{for } K > 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

© V. Albani (IMPA)

We need some technical adaptations.



Perform the change of variables

$$\tau = T$$
 and $y = \log(K/F_{0,T'})$.

Then define:

$$V(F_{0,T'},\tau,y) := C(F_{0,T'},\tau,F_{0,T'}e^{y}) \text{ and } a(F_{0,T'},\tau,y) := \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}(F_{0,T'},\tau,F_{0,T'}e^{y}).$$

Moreover, normalize the option prices by its underlying futures:

$$V(F_{0,T'}, \tau, y) = V(F_{0,T'}, \tau, y)/F_{0,T'}.$$

© V. Albani (IMPA)

Thus, from the previous PDE we have the following problem:

We also assume that

$$V(F_{0,T'},\tau,y) = V(S_0,\tau,y) \text{ and } a(F_{0,T'},\tau,y) = a(S_0,\tau,y).$$

Then, *V* satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tau}(\tau, y) &= a(S_0, \tau, y) \left(\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y^2}(\tau, y) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}(\tau, y) \right), \ T > 0, \ y \in \mathbb{R} \\\\ \lim_{y \to -\infty} V(\tau, y) &= 1, \ \tau > 0, \\\\ \lim_{y \to +\infty} V(\tau, y) &= 0, \ \tau > 0, \\\\ V(\tau, y) &= (1 - e^y)^+, \ \text{for } y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$
(2)

It is independent of $F_{0,T}$!

We present some background properties of the forward operator.



22 / 58

11/04/2013

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 < a_1 \le a_2 < +\infty$. Consider a_0 in $H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$, with $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a_1 \le a_0 \le a_2$. Define the set

$$Q := \{a \in a_0 + H^{1+\varepsilon}(D) : a_1 \le a \le a_2\}.$$
(3)

© V. Albani (IMPA)

Proposition ([DCSZ12])

If $a \in Q$, then Pricing Call Options on futures by Dupire's Equation is a well-posed problem in $W^{1,2}_{2,loc}(D)$



Definition

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a_0 \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$ be fixed. Define the forward operator:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} F:Q\subset H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)&\longrightarrow&W^{1,2}_2(D)\\ a\in Q&\rightarrow&u(a)-u(a_0)\in L^2(D), \end{array}$$

© V. Albani (IMPA)



24 / 58

11/04/2013

Proposition (From [DCSZ12])

We have the following regularity properties for the forward operator F:

- (i) It is continuous and compact.
- (ii) It is also weakly continuous and weakly closed.
- (iii) *F* is differentiable at $a \in Q$ in every direction $h \in H^{1+\epsilon}(D)$ such that $a+h \in Q$.
- (iv) F'(a) is extensible to a bounded linear operator on $H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$.

(v) It also satisfies the Lipschitz condition:

$$\|F'(a)-F'(a+h)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H^{1+\varepsilon}(D),L^2(D))}\leq c\|h\|,$$

for every $h \in H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$ such that $a + h \in Q$.

Corollary (From [AZ12])

The forward operator F is injective.

The local volatility calibration problem can formulated as follows:

Problem

If $u \in L^2(D)$ is a surface of European call option prices, then find $a^{\dagger} \in Q$, a local volatility surface, satisfying

$$u - u(a_0) = F(a^{\dagger}), \qquad (4)$$

© V. Albani (IMPA)

with $a_0 \in Q$ fixed and known.

Since *F* is injective, there exists a unique $a \in Q$ satisfying Equation (4).



In practice, we observe only the noisy data u^{δ} , which is related to u by:

$$u^{\delta} = u + e \tag{5}$$

e compiles all the uncertainties concerning the measurement of u^{δ} .

$$\|u-u^{\delta}\|=\|e\|\leq\delta$$

Problem

Find $a^{\dagger} \in Q$ satisfying $u^{\delta} - u(a_0) = F(a^{\dagger}) + e,$

with $a_0 \in Q$ fixed and known and $e \in L^2(D)$ unknown with $||e|| \le \delta$ and $\delta > 0$.

©V. Albani (IMPA)



11/04/2013

(6)

27 / 58

Problem

Find one minimizer a_{α}^{δ} in Q for the Tikhonov functional below:

$$\mathcal{F}_{a_{0},\alpha}^{u^{\delta}} = \|u(a) - u^{\delta}\|^{2} + \alpha f_{a_{0}}(a)$$
(7)

© V. Albani (IMPA)

with $\alpha > 0$ appropriately chosen and $f_{a_0} : \mathcal{D}(f_{a_0}) \subset H^{1+\epsilon}(D) \to [0, +\infty)$ a suitable functional.



The choice of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ is based on the relaxed version of Morozov's discrepancy principle below:

Definition

For 1
$$< au_1 \leq au_2$$
 we choose $lpha = lpha(\delta, u^\delta) > 0$ such that

$$au_1 \delta \le \|u(a_{lpha}^{\delta}) - u^{\delta}\| \le au_2 \delta$$
 (8)

© V. Albani (IMPA)

holds for some a_{α}^{δ} minimizer of the Tikhonov Functional.



We assume that:

- *f*_{*a*₀} is convex
- $f_{a_0}(a) = 0$ if and only if $a = a_0$.
- It is also coercive, i.e., if $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfy $||a_n|| \to +\infty$, then $f_{a_0}(a_n) \to +\infty$.
- *f*_{a₀} is weakly lower semi-continuous, i.e., if {*a*_n}_{n∈ℕ} converges to ã ∈ Q weakly in *H*^{1+ε}(*D*), then the

$$f_{a_0}(\tilde{a}) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} f_{a_0}(a_n)$$

©V. Albani (IMPA)

holds.

30 / 58

11/04/2013

Some Examples

Some canonical examples of f_{a_0} are:

Standard quadratic:

$$f_{a_0}(a) = \|a - a_0\|_{L^2(D)}^2.$$

Smoothing quadratic:

$$f_{a_0}(a) = \beta_1 \|a - a_0\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \beta_2 \|\partial_x a - \partial_x a_0\|_{L^2(D)}^2 + \beta_3 \|\partial_\tau a - \partial_\tau a_0\|_{L^2(D)}^2.$$

 β_i can be arbitrarily chosen and should account discretization levels.

Solution States States States and States St

$$f_{a_0}(a) = \int_D [\log(a(x)/a_0(x)) - (a_0(x) - a(x))] dx.$$

Total Variation:

$$f_{a_0} = \|\partial_x a - \partial_x a_0\|_{L^1(D)} + \|\partial_\tau a - \partial_\tau a_0\|_{L^1(D)}.$$

Proposition

The level sets

$$\mu_{lpha}(M) = \left\{ a \in Q \left| \mathcal{F}_{a_0, lpha}^{u^{\delta}}(a) \leq M
ight\}
ight.$$

are pre-compact in the weak topology of $H^{1+\epsilon}(D)$. The restriction of F onto $\mu_{\alpha}(M)$ is weakly continuous.

Theorem (Existence)

Let $\alpha > 0$ and $a_0 \in Q$ be fixed. Then, the Tikhonov functional has a minimizer in Q.

© V. Albani (IMPA)



Definition

A minimizer $a \in Q$ of the Tikhonov functional is said stable if, for small perturbations on the data $u \in L^2(D)$, a minimizer of (7) with the perturbed data is in the neighborhood of a.

Theorem (Stability)

Every minimizer of the Tikhonov functional (7) is stable.



Theorem ([AZ12])

The regularizing parameter $\alpha = \alpha(\delta, \mathcal{U}^{\delta})$ obtained through Morozov's discrepancy principle satisfies:

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0+} \alpha(\delta, \, \mathcal{U}^{\delta}) = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \frac{\delta^2}{\alpha(\delta, \, \mathcal{U}^{\delta})} = 0.$$

Theorem ([AZ12])

Let $\{\delta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging monotonically to 0. Let $\{u^{\delta_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the associated sequence of noisy data. Then, the sequence of minimizers $\{a_{\alpha_k}^{\delta_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a^{\dagger} , the true solution.

¹V.A. & J.P. Zubelli, Online Local Vol. Calib. by Convex Regularization with Morozov's Principle and Conv. Rates. Available on SSRN

²V.A. & J.P. Zubelli, Local Volatility Models in Commodity Markets and Online Calibration. Working article



11/04/2013 34 / 58

Definition

Let $\{X_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$, such that

$$X_m \subset X_{m+1}$$
 for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\overline{\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} X_m} = H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)$.

Define also the finite-dimensional domains $Q_m := Q \cap X_m$.

We assume that $Q_m \neq \emptyset$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition ([ACZ13])

Let $\delta > 0$, u^{δ} and be fixed. For $1 < \tau \le \lambda$, then choose $\alpha = \alpha(\delta, u^{\delta}) > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tau_1 \delta \le \|F(a_{m,\alpha}^{\delta}) - u^{\delta}\| \le \lambda \delta, \tag{9}$$

holds for $a_{m,\alpha}^{\delta}$ a minimizer of the Tikhonov functional in Q_m .

³V.A., A. De Cezaro & J.P. Zubelli, *Discrepancy Based Choice for Domain Discretization Level and Regularization Parameter. Working article.*



35 / 58

11/04/2013

Theorem

Let $\{\delta_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers converging monotonically to 0. Let $\{u^{\delta_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the associated sequence of noisy data. Then, if m_k and α_k are chosen through the discrepancy principle above, the associated finite-dimensional minimizers $\{a_{m_k,\alpha_k}^{\delta_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converge weakly to a^{\dagger} , the true solution.

³V.A., A. De Cezaro & J.P. Zubelli, *Discrepancy Based Choice for Domain Discretization Level and Regularization Parameter. Working article.*



11/04/2013 3

36 / 58

Theorem (Convergence Rates [AZ12])

Assume that $\alpha = \alpha(\delta, u^{\delta})$ is chosen through the Morozov's discrepancy principle.

Furthermore, assume that $f_{\mathcal{A}_0}(a) = ||a - a_0||^2_{H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)}$. Then

$$\|a_{lpha}^{\delta}-a^{\dagger}\|_{H^{1+arepsilon}(D)}=\mathcal{O}(\delta^{rac{1}{2}}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \|u(a_{lpha}^{\delta})-u^{\delta}\|=\mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

where $a_{\alpha}^{\delta} \in Q$ is the regularized solution.

¹V.A. & J.P. Zubelli, Online Local Vol. Calib. by Convex Regularization with Morozov's Principle and Conv. Rates. Available on SSRN



Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

11/04/2013

Convergence Rates: The Discrete Case³

Under the discrete setting, we have the following result.

Theorem (Convergence Rates [ACZ13])

Assume that $\alpha = \alpha(\delta, u^{\delta})$ and the discretization level $m = m(\delta, u^{\delta})$ are chosen through the discrepancy principle above. Furthermore, assume that $f_{\mathcal{A}_0}(a) = ||a - a_0||^2_{H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)}$ and there exists $a \in Q_m$ such that $||u(a) - u^{\delta}|| \le \varepsilon \delta$ and $f_{a_0}(a) < f_{a_0}(a^{\dagger})$, with $1 < \varepsilon < \tau$.

Then

$$\|a_{m,\alpha}^{\delta}-a^{\dagger}\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}(D)}=O(\delta^{rac{1}{2}}) \quad and \quad \|u(a_{m,\alpha}^{\delta})-u^{\delta}\|=O(\delta),$$

where $a_{m,\alpha}^{\delta} \in Q_m$ is the finite-dimensional regularized solution.

³V.A., A. De Cezaro & J.P. Zubelli, *Discrepancy Based Choice for Domain Discretization Level and Regularization Parameter. Working Article.*



Convergence Rates⁴



⁴V.A., A. De Cezaro & J.P. Zubelli, *Convex Regularization of Local Volatility Estimation in a Discrete Setting. Available on SSRN.*



Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

© V. Albani (IMPA)

11/04/2013 39 / 58

How to improve results even further? Introducing more information:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{0},\alpha}^{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}}(\mathcal{A}) = \int_{S_{\min}}^{S_{\max}} \|u(a(s)) - u^{\delta}(s)\|^{2} ds + \alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{0}}(\mathcal{A}),$$
(10)

In the discrete case:

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{0},\alpha}^{\mathcal{U}^{\delta}}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \|u(a(s_{j})) - u^{\delta}(s_{j})\|^{2} + \alpha \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{0}}(\mathcal{A}_{M}),$$
(11)

with $S_{\min} \leq s_j \leq S_{\max}$ for every j = 1, ..., M.

²V.A. & J.P. Zubelli, Local Volatility Models in Commodity Markets and Online Calibration. Working article

Local Volatility and Commodity Markets

11/04/2013 40 / 58

¹V.A. & J.P. Zubelli, Online Local Vol. Calib. by Convex Regularization with Morozov's Principle and Conv. Rates. Available on SSRN

Theorem

There exists a solution for a minimizer for the online Tikhonov functional. It is stable.

Assume that $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and α is chosen through the Morozov's discrepancy principle.

Then the regularized solutions converge weakly to the solution of the noiseless inverse problem $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \in \mathfrak{Q}$.

In addition, when $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_0}(\mathcal{A}) = \|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_0\|^2_{H^1(0,T,H^{1+\varepsilon}(D))}$, these solutions satisfy the convergence rate:

$$\|\mathcal{A}^{\delta}_{lpha}\!-\!\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\|=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\delta}).$$

© V. Albani (IMPA)

The same holds in the discrete case.

Synthetic Data: Local Volatility.



Figure: Left: Original. Center and right.: Reconstructions with noisy data.



Synthetic Data: Local Volatility.



Figure: Left: Original. Center and right.: Reconstructions with noisy data.



Synthetic Data: Residual and Error Evolutions.



Figure: Left: Residual \times discretization level. Right: Error \times discretization level.



Online \times Standard Calibration



Figure: More data, better results!



Online \times Standard Calibration



Figure: More data, better results!



Online \times Standard Calibration



Figure: More data, better results!



$Online \times Standard \ Calibration$



Figure: L^2 distance between original and reconstructed local vol_{P^a}



11/04/2013 48 / 58



Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).



Local Vol.: Henry Hub Nat. Gas



Figure: Left: local vol. reconstructed for some maturities. Right: reconstructed local vol. surface.



Implied Volatility Comparison



Figure: Implied vol. (Black) for market prices (dashed) and model prices (continuous) for two maturities.





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).





Figure: Left: Local Volatility. Right: Implied Vol. of model (cont.) and market (squares).



- Dupire's local vol. applied to commodity markets.
- Implemented American to European prices transformation.
- Local vol. calibration solved by convex regularization.
- Online approach.
- Morozov's discrepancy principle.
- Numerical tests.



© V. Albani (IMPA)



V. Albani, A. De Cezaro, and J. Zubelli.

Discrepancy-based Choice for Domain Discretization Level and Parameter in Tikhonov-type Regularization.

2013.

- V. Albani and J. Zubelli.

Online Local Volatility Calibration by Convex Regularization with Morozov's Principle and Convergence Rates.

Submitted., 2012.



Fischer Black.

The pricing of commodity contracts. Journal of Financial Economics, 3:167–179, 1976.

Adriano De Cezaro, Otmar Scherzer, and Jorge Passamani Zubelli. Convex regularization of local volatility models from option prices: Convergence analysis and rates.

Nonlinear Analysis, 75(4):2398–2415, 2012.

