

Partial Galois cohomology, Picard semigroups and the relative Brauer group

M. Dokuchaev

Universidade de São Paulo

In collaboration with

A. Paques and H. Pinedo

FADYS

Florianópolis, February 23 - 27, 2015

Definition

G grp., X set.

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets $X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G),$

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets $X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G)$, *bijec.-s* $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g$,

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets

$X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G),$ bijec.-s $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g,$

s. that

(i) $\exists g \cdot (h \cdot x) \implies \exists (gh) \cdot x$ and $g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x,$

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets

$X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G),$ bijec.-s $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g,$

s. that

$$(i) \quad \exists g \cdot (h \cdot x) \implies \exists (gh) \cdot x \text{ and } g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x,$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_{1_G}(x) = x, \quad \forall x.$$

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets

$X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G),$ *bijec.-s* $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g,$

s. that

$$(i) \quad \exists g \cdot (h \cdot x) \implies \exists (gh) \cdot x \text{ and } g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x,$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_{1_G}(x) = x, \quad \forall x.$$

Exercise:

$$\theta_g(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) = X_g \cap X_{gh}.$$

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets $X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G)$, *bijec.-s* $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g$,
s. that

$$(i) \quad \exists g \cdot (h \cdot x) \implies \exists (gh) \cdot x \text{ and } g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x,$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_{1_G}(x) = x, \quad \forall x.$$

Exercise: $\theta_g(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) = X_g \cap X_{gh}.$

Example

(F. Abadie, 2003). A flow of a smooth vector field is a partial action of \mathbb{R}^+ on a manifold.

Definition

G grp., X set. A partial action θ of G on X consists of subsets $X_g \subseteq X, (g \in G)$, *bijec.-s* $\theta_g : X_{g^{-1}} \ni x \mapsto g \cdot x \in X_g$,
s. that

$$(i) \quad \exists g \cdot (h \cdot x) \implies \exists (gh) \cdot x \text{ and } g \cdot (h \cdot x) = (gh) \cdot x,$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_{1_G}(x) = x, \quad \forall x.$$

Exercise: $\theta_g(X_{g^{-1}} \cap X_h) = X_g \cap X_{gh}.$

Example

(F. Abadie, 2003). A flow of a smooth vector field is a partial action of \mathbb{R}^+ on a manifold.

Remark: A flow is called total if this par. action is global.

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/3$

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \theta_g : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \theta_g : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Example

The **R. Thompson's group** V is a finitely presented infinite simple group which contains all finite groups.

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \theta_g : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Example

The **R. Thompson's group** V is a finitely presented infinite simple group which contains all finite groups. Introduced by R. Thompson in 1960s (together with other groups, in particular, $F \subseteq V$)

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \theta_g : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Example

The **R. Thompson's group** V is a finitely presented infinite simple group which contains all finite groups. Introduced by R. Thompson in 1960s (together with other groups, in particular, $F \subseteq V$) as **permutation** groups of certain sets of **infinite words** over $\{0, 1\}$.

Example

(J. Kellendonk, M. Lawson, 2004).

$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $PGL(2, \mathbb{C}) = GL(2, \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{Z}$ act partially on \mathbb{C} via the Möbius transformations:

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}), \quad \theta_g : z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d}.$$

Example

The **R. Thompson's group** V is a finitely presented infinite simple group which contains all finite groups. Introduced by R. Thompson in 1960s (together with other groups, in particular, $F \subseteq V$) as **permutation** groups of certain sets of **infinite words** over $\{0, 1\}$.

Group V is defined by **partial actions** on **finite binary words** by J. C. Birget (2004) (following E. A. Scott (1984)) to study complexity (word problem etc.).

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} .

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We

require:

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall:

$$\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}.$$

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g,$$

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

(in usual case: $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}$, $au_g \cdot bu_h = a \theta_g(b)u_{gh}$.)

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require:**

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

(in usual case: $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}$, $au_g \cdot bu_h = a \theta_g(b)u_{gh}$.)

$$\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b) \in \theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}.$$

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require**:

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

(in usual case: $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}$, $au_g \cdot bu_h = a \theta_g(b)u_{gh}$.)

$$\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b) \in \theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}.$$

Partial crossed product:

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require**:

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

(in usual case: $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}$, $au_g \cdot bu_h = a \theta_g(b)u_{gh}$.)

$$\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b) \in \theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}.$$

Partial crossed product:

$$au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)f(g, h)u_{gh},$$

(see $f(g, h)$ below)

Partial skew group ring

Let $\theta = \{\theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g\}$ par. action of G on algebra \mathcal{A} . We **require**:

$$\mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g \text{ iso-s.}$$

Recall: $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$.

Skew gr. ring by par. action:

$$\mathcal{A} * G = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{A}_g u_g, \quad au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)u_{gh}.$$

(in usual case: $\mathcal{A}_g = \mathcal{A}$, $au_g \cdot bu_h = a \theta_g(b)u_{gh}$.)

$$\theta_g(\theta_{g^{-1}}(a)b) \in \theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}.$$

Partial crossed product:

$$au_g \cdot bu_h = \theta_g(\theta_g^{-1}(a)b)f(g, h)u_{gh},$$

(see $f(g, h)$ below)

Say θ is unital if $\forall \mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, 1_g central idemp. ($1_g^2 = 1_g$).

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G :$

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G$:

(i) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G$:

(i) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;

(ii) $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$;

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G$:

- (i) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (ii) $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$;
- (iii) $\theta_g \circ \theta_h(a) = f(g, h)\theta_{gh}(a)f(g, h)^{-1}$, $\forall a \in \text{dom}(\theta_g \circ \theta_h)$;

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G$:

- (i) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (ii) $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$;
- (iii) $\theta_g \circ \theta_h(a) = f(g, h)\theta_{gh}(a)f(g, h)^{-1}$, $\forall a \in \text{dom}(\theta_g \circ \theta_h)$;
- (iv) $f(1, g) = f(g, 1) = 1_g$;

Definition

A unital twisted par. action of G on \mathcal{A} is a triple

$$\Theta = (\{\mathcal{A}_g\}_{g \in G}, \{\theta_g\}_{g \in G}, \{f(g, h)\}_{(g, h) \in G \times G}),$$

where $\forall \mathcal{A}_g \triangleleft \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}_g = 1_g \mathcal{A}$, $1_g^2 = 1_g$, $1_g \in \mathfrak{Z}(\mathcal{A})$,

$\forall \theta_g : \mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, iso. of k -alg.,

$\forall f(g, h) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh})$, s. th. $\forall g, h, t \in G$:

- (i) $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\theta_1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (ii) $\theta_g(\mathcal{A}_{g^{-1}} \cap \mathcal{A}_h) = \mathcal{A}_g \cap \mathcal{A}_{gh}$;
- (iii) $\theta_g \circ \theta_h(a) = f(g, h)\theta_{gh}(a)f(g, h)^{-1}$, $\forall a \in \text{dom}(\theta_g \circ \theta_h)$;
- (iv) $f(1, g) = f(g, 1) = 1_g$;
- (v) $\theta_g(1_{g^{-1}} f(h, t)) f(g, ht) = f(g, h) f(gh, t)$.

M. D. + M. Khrypchenko 2015.

Definition

A (unital) par. G -module is a commut. monoid A with unital par. action θ of G on A .

M. D. + M. Khrypchenko 2015.

Definition

A (unital) par. G -module is a *commut. monoid A with unital par. action θ of G on A .*

Denote $\text{pMod}(G)$ category of unital par. G -modules.

M. D. + M. Khrypchenko 2015.

Definition

A (unital) par. G -module is a commut. monoid A with unital par. action θ of G on A .

Denote $\text{pMod}(G)$ category of unital par. G -modules.

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$. Write

$$A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = A_{x_1} A_{x_1 x_2} \dots A_{x_1 \dots x_n}.$$

n -cochains: $f : G^n \rightarrow A$, s. that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{U}(A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})$.

M. D. + M. Khrypchenko 2015.

Definition

A (unital) par. G -module is a commut. monoid A with unital par. action θ of G on A .

Denote $\text{pMod}(G)$ category of unital par. G -modules.

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$. Write

$$A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = A_{x_1} A_{x_1 x_2} \dots A_{x_1 \dots x_n}.$$

n -cochains: $f : G^n \rightarrow A$, s. that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{U}(A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})$.

Denote $C^n(G, A) = \{n\text{-cochains}\}$, $C^0(G, A) = \mathcal{U}(A)$.

M. D. + M. Khrypchenko 2015.

Definition

A (unital) par. G -module is a commut. monoid A with unital par. action θ of G on A .

Denote $\text{pMod}(G)$ category of unital par. G -modules.

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$. Write

$$A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} = A_{x_1} A_{x_1 x_2} \dots A_{x_1 \dots x_n}.$$

n -cochains: $f : G^n \rightarrow A$, s. that $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{U}(A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})$.

Denote $C^n(G, A) = \{n\text{-cochains}\}$, $C^0(G, A) = \mathcal{U}(A)$.

$C^n(G, A)$ is abel. grp with pointwise mult-n:

identity : $e_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1_{x_1} 1_{x_1 x_2} \dots 1_{x_1 \dots x_n}$,

inverse: $f^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{-1} \in \mathcal{U}(A_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)})$.

Definition

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$, $f \in C^n(G, A)$, $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$.

Definition

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$, $f \in C^n(G, A)$, $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$. Define

$$(\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \theta_{x_1}(1_{x_1}^{-1} f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})).$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})^{(-1)^i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$$

(inverse elements in corresp. ideals). If $n = 0$, $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, set

$$(\delta^0 a)(x) = \theta_x(1_{x^{-1}} a) a^{-1}$$

Definition

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$, $f \in C^n(G, A)$, $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$. Define

$$(\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \theta_{x_1}(1_{x_1}^{-1} f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})).$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})^{(-1)^i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$$

(inverse elements in corresp. ideals). If $n = 0$, $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, set

$$(\delta^0 a)(x) = \theta_x(1_x^{-1} a) a^{-1}$$

Have:

$$\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = e_{n+1}.$$

Definition

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$, $f \in C^n(G, A)$, $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$. Define

$$(\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \theta_{x_1}(1_{x_1}^{-1} f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})).$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})^{(-1)^i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$$

(inverse elements in corresp. ideals). If $n = 0$, $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, set

$$(\delta^0 a)(x) = \theta_x(1_{x^{-1}} a) a^{-1}$$

Have:

$$\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = e_{n+1}.$$

Write:

$$Z^n(G, A) = \text{Ker}(\delta^n), B^n(G, A) = \text{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$$

Definition

Let $(A, \theta) \in \text{pMod}(G)$, $f \in C^n(G, A)$, $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in G$. Define

$$(\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \theta_{x_1}(1_{x_1}^{-1} f(x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})).$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{n+1})^{(-1)^i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{(-1)^{n+1}}$$

(inverse elements in corresp. ideals). If $n = 0$, $a \in \mathcal{U}(A)$, set

$$(\delta^0 a)(x) = \theta_x(1_{x^{-1}} a) a^{-1}$$

Have: $\delta^n \circ \delta^{n-1} = e_{n+1}$.

Write: $Z^n(G, A) = \text{Ker}(\delta^n)$, $B^n(G, A) = \text{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$

Define: par. coh. grp.: $H^n(G, A) = \frac{Z^n}{B^n}$, $H^0(G, A) = Z^0$.

Galois Theory of commutative rings in:

M. Auslander, O. Goldman, The Brauer group of a commutative ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1960), 367-409.

S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1965), 15–33.

Galois Theory of commutative rings in:

M. Auslander, O. Goldman, The Brauer group of a commutative ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1960), 367-409.

S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1965), 15–33.

Recall: Let R be com. ring and assume a finite grp G acts (globally) on S . **Say** $R^G \subseteq R$ is Galois ext. if $\exists x_i, y_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, s. that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i g(y_i) = \delta_{1,g}, \forall g \in G.$$

Galois Theory of commutative rings in:

M. Auslander, O. Goldman, The Brauer group of a commutative ring, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1960), 367-409.

S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1965), 15–33.

Recall: Let R be com. ring and assume a finite grp G acts (globally) on S . **Say** $R^G \subseteq R$ is Galois ext. if $\exists x_i, y_i \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, s. that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i g(y_i) = \delta_{1,g}, \forall g \in G.$$

Partial Galois theory in

M. Dokuchaev, M. Ferrero, A. Paques, Partial Actions and Galois Theory, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **208** (2007), (1), 77–87.

Partial Galois Theory

Let θ unital par. action of finite G on R . Write

$$R^\theta = \{r \in R \mid \theta_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = r1_g \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

Partial Galois Theory

Let θ unital par. action of finite G on R . Write

$$R^\theta = \{r \in R \mid \theta_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = r1_g \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

Say $R^\theta \subseteq R$ is Galois ext. if $\exists x_i, y_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$, s. that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \theta_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{1,g}, \forall g \in G.$$

Partial Galois Theory

Let θ unital par. action of finite G on R . Write

$$R^\theta = \{r \in R \mid \theta_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = r1_g \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

Say $R^\theta \subseteq R$ is Galois ext. if $\exists x_i, y_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$, s. that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \theta_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{1,g}, \forall g \in G.$$

Several equivalent definitions were given and a Galois correspondence established.

Partial Galois Theory

Let θ unital par. action of finite G on R . Write

$$R^\theta = \{r \in R \mid \theta_g(r1_{g^{-1}}) = r1_g \forall g \in G\}.$$

Say $R^\theta \subseteq R$ is Galois ext. if $\exists x_i, y_i \in R, 1 \leq i \leq n$, s. that

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \theta_g(y_i 1_{g^{-1}}) = \delta_{1,g}, \forall g \in G.$$

Several equivalent definitions were given and a Galois correspondence established.

Given k -algebra A write $A^e = A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$, where A^{op} is the opposite alg. Then A is a left A^e -module via $(a \otimes b)a' = aa'b$.

Definition

Let A be an algebra over comm. ring k . Say that A is separable over k if A is projective as a left A^e -module.

Recall that a module over a ring A is called projective if it is a direct summand of a free A -module.

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

$\Leftrightarrow A$ faith. f.g. proj. R -mod and $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ as R -alg-s.

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

$\Leftrightarrow A$ faith. f.g. proj. R -mod and $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ as R -alg-s.

Denote $[A]$ the Morita equiv. class of A . (Recall that $A \sim_{\text{Morita}} B \Leftrightarrow {}_A\text{Mod} \sim_B \text{Mod}$.)

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

$\Leftrightarrow A$ faith. f.g. proj. R -mod and $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ as R -alg-s.

Denote $[A]$ the Morita equiv. class of A . (Recall that $A \sim_{\text{Morita}} B \Leftrightarrow {}_A\text{Mod} \sim_B \text{Mod}$.)

The classes $[A]$ form the **Brauer gr.** $B(R)$ with $[A][B] = [A \otimes B]$, identity el-t $[R]$ and $[A]^{-1} = [A^{\text{op}}]$.

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

$\Leftrightarrow A$ faith. f.g. proj. R -mod and $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ as R -alg-s.

Denote $[A]$ the Morita equiv. class of A . (Recall that $A \sim_{\text{Morita}} B \Leftrightarrow {}_A\text{Mod} \sim_B \text{Mod}$.)

The classes $[A]$ form the **Brauer gr.** $B(R)$ with $[A][B] = [A \otimes B]$, identity el-t $[R]$ and $[A]^{-1} = [A^{\text{op}}]$.

Let S comm. R -alg. Then

$$B(R) \ni [A] \mapsto [A \otimes S] \in B(S)$$

given by $[A] \mapsto [A \otimes S]$, is gr. hom. whose kernel is the **relative Brauer gr.** $B(S/R)$.

Brauer Group

R commut. ring. R -alg. A called **Azumaya** if $R = \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and A is separable over R .

$\Leftrightarrow A$ faith. f.g. proj. R -mod and $A \otimes A^{\text{op}} \simeq \text{End}_R(A)$ as R -alg-s.

Denote $[A]$ the Morita equiv. class of A . (Recall that $A \sim_{\text{Morita}} B \Leftrightarrow {}_A\text{Mod} \sim_B \text{Mod}$.)

The classes $[A]$ form the **Brauer gr.** $B(R)$ with $[A][B] = [A \otimes B]$, identity el-t $[R]$ and $[A]^{-1} = [A^{\text{op}}]$.

Let S comm. R -alg. Then

$$B(R) \ni [A] \mapsto [A \otimes S] \in B(S)$$

given by $[A] \mapsto [A \otimes S]$, is gr. hom. whose kernel is the **relative Brauer gr.** $B(S/R)$. **Crossed Prod. Theorem:**

Theorem

Let $K \subseteq F$ finite Galois ext. fields with Galois gr. G . Then $H^2(G, F^*) \ni \text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R *_f G] \in B(F/K)$ is gr. iso.

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .
Paques+Sant'Ana 2010 $\Rightarrow R *_{\theta, f} G$ is R^θ -Azumaya and
 $R *_{\theta, f} G \in B(R/R^\theta) \forall f \in Z^2(G, R)$.

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .
Paques+Sant'Ana 2010 $\Rightarrow R \star_{\theta,f} G$ is R^θ -Azumaya and
 $R \star_{\theta,f} G \in B(R/R^\theta) \forall f \in Z^2(G, R)$.

Theorem

$\varphi: H^2(G, R) \ni \text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta,f} G] \in B(R/R^\theta)$ is gr. hom.

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .
Paques+Sant'Ana 2010 $\Rightarrow R *_{\theta, f} G$ is R^θ -Azumaya and
 $R *_{\theta, f} G \in B(R/R^\theta) \forall f \in Z^2(G, R)$.

Theorem

$\varphi: H^2(G, R) \ni \text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R *_{\theta, f} G] \in B(R/R^\theta)$ is gr. hom.

Definition

We say that a f.g.p. R -module P has rank ≤ 1 if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ one has $P_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ or $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .
Paques+Sant'Ana 2010 $\Rightarrow R *_{\theta, f} G$ is R^θ -Azumaya and
 $R *_{\theta, f} G \in B(R/R^\theta) \forall f \in Z^2(G, R)$.

Theorem

$\varphi: H^2(G, R) \ni \text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R *_{\theta, f} G] \in B(R/R^\theta)$ is gr. hom.

Definition

We say that a f.g.p. R -module P has rank ≤ 1 if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ one has $P_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ or $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Consider $\text{PicS}(R) = \{[E] \mid E \text{ is a f.g.p. } R\text{-module and } \text{rk}(E) \leq 1\}$.

Let $R^\theta \subseteq R$ par. Galois ext. of comm. rings with Galois gr. G .
Paques+Sant'Ana 2010 $\Rightarrow R *_{\theta, f} G$ is R^θ -Azumaya and
 $R *_{\theta, f} G \in B(R/R^\theta) \forall f \in Z^2(G, R)$.

Theorem

$\varphi: H^2(G, R) \ni \text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R *_{\theta, f} G] \in B(R/R^\theta)$ is gr. hom.

Definition

We say that a f.g.p. R -module P has rank ≤ 1 if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ one has $P_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ or $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Consider $\text{PicS}(R) = \{[E] \mid E \text{ is a f.g.p. } R\text{-module and } \text{rk}(E) \leq 1\}$.

Then $\text{PicS}(R)$ with respect to \otimes_R is com. inv. monoid with 0 and

$$\text{PicS}(R) \cong \bigcup_{e \in R, e^2=e} \text{Pic}(eR).$$

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U.
Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U. Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)^G \rightarrow$$

$$H^2(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow B(R/R^G) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathcal{U}(R)).$$

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U. Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)^G \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow B(R/R^G) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathcal{U}(R)).$$

In partial case have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^\theta) \rightarrow \text{PicS}(R)^{\theta^*} \cap \text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B(R/R^\theta) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PicS}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, R),$$

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U. Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)^G \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow B(R/R^G) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathcal{U}(R)).$$

In partial case have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^\theta) \rightarrow \text{PicS}(R)^{\theta^*} \cap \text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B(R/R^\theta) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PicS}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, R),$$

where θ^* is par. ac. of G on $\text{PicS}(R)$ given as follows:

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U. Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)^G \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow B(R/R^G) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathcal{U}(R)).$$

In partial case have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^\theta) \rightarrow \text{PicS}(R)^{\theta^*} \cap \text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B(R/R^\theta) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PicS}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, R),$$

where θ^* is par. ac. of G on $\text{PicS}(R)$ given as follows:

$$\theta_g^* : X_{g-1} \rightarrow X_g, \quad X_g = [D_g]\text{PicS}(R), \quad X_{g-1} \ni [E] \mapsto [E_g] \in X_g,$$

Seven terms exact sequence

For (usual) Galois ext $R^G \subseteq R$ of com rings with Galois gr G S. U. Chase + D. K. Harrison + A. Rosenberg (1965):

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R)^G \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, \mathcal{U}(R)) \rightarrow B(R/R^G) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, \mathcal{U}(R)).$$

In partial case have the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G, R) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(R^\theta) \rightarrow \text{PicS}(R)^{\theta^*} \cap \text{Pic}(R) \rightarrow \\ H^2(G, R) \xrightarrow{\varphi} B(R/R^\theta) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{PicS}(R)) \rightarrow H^3(G, R),$$

where θ^* is par. ac. of G on $\text{PicS}(R)$ given as follows:

$$\theta_g^* : X_{g^{-1}} \rightarrow X_g, \quad X_g = [D_g]\text{PicS}(R), \quad X_{g^{-1}} \ni [E] \mapsto [E_g] \in X_g,$$

$E_g = E$ as sets, and the R -action is given by

$$r \bullet x_g = \alpha_{g^{-1}}(r1_g)x, \quad r \in R, \quad x_g \in E_g.$$

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Crossed product Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, then there is a group isomorphism $H^2(G, R) \cong B(R/R^\theta)$ given by $\text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta, f} G]$.

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Crossed product Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, then there is a group isomorphism $H^2(G, R) \cong B(R/R^\theta)$ given by $\text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta, f} G]$.

Suppose a free action of a finite gr. G on a compact space X . Then $C(G \backslash X) \subseteq C(X)$ is a Galois ext. and the Chase-Harrison-Rosenberg sequence applies. In this case

$$\text{Pic}(C(X)) \cong \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Crossed product Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, then there is a group isomorphism $H^2(G, R) \cong B(R/R^\theta)$ given by $\text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta, f} G]$.

Suppose a free action of a finite gr. G on a compact space X . Then $C(G \backslash X) \subseteq C(X)$ is a Galois ext. and the Chase-Harrison-Rosenberg sequence applies. In this case

$$\text{Pic}(C(X)) \cong \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$B(C(X)) = \{\text{homog. } C^*\text{-algebras with spectrum } X \text{ under } \otimes\}$$

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Crossed product Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, then there is a group isomorphism $H^2(G, R) \cong B(R/R^\theta)$ given by $\text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta, f} G]$.

Suppose a free action of a finite gr. G on a compact space X . Then $C(G \backslash X) \subseteq C(X)$ is a Galois ext. and the Chase-Harrison-Rosenberg sequence applies. In this case

$$\text{Pic}(C(X)) \cong \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$B(C(X)) = \{\text{homog. } C^*\text{-algebras with spectrum } X \text{ under } \otimes\}$$

For a second count. loc. compact transf. gr. (G, X) , D. Crocker, I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams (2007) gave an analogous sequence with equivariant Brauer and Picard groups.

Seven terms exact sequence

Hilbert's 90th Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R^\theta) = 0$, then $H^1(G, R) = 0$.

Crossed product Theorem for partial actions: Let $R \supseteq R^\theta$ be a partial Galois extension. If $\text{Pic}(R) = 0$, then there is a group isomorphism $H^2(G, R) \cong B(R/R^\theta)$ given by $\text{cls}(f) \mapsto [R \star_{\theta, f} G]$.

Suppose a free action of a finite gr. G on a compact space X . Then $C(G \backslash X) \subseteq C(X)$ is a Galois ext. and the Chase-Harrison-Rosenberg sequence applies. In this case

$$\text{Pic}(C(X)) \cong \check{H}^2(X; \mathbb{Z}),$$

$$B(C(X)) = \{\text{homog. } C^*\text{-algebras with spectrum } X \text{ under } \otimes\}$$

For a second count. loc. compact transf. gr. (G, X) , D. Crocker, I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams (2007) gave an analogous sequence with equivariant Brauer and Picard groups.

Partial action version?

Thank you!