**THE F. AND M. RIESZ THEOREM FOR $C^*$-ALGEBRAS**

**RUY EXEL***

ABSTRACT. Our main result is a generalization of the F. and M. Riesz theorem to traced $C^*$-algebras containing an “analytic subalgebra”. A linear functional on such an algebra is called analytic if it vanishes on the analytic subalgebra. We prove that both the absolutely continuous and the singular part of the Lebesgue decomposition of an analytic linear functional are analytic and moreover that the singular part vanishes on the unit. This Theorem is used to study a distance formula related to Sarason’s theorem on the closedness of $H^\infty + C$.

**Introduction.** The F. and M. Riesz theorem [10] states that every analytic measure on the circle group is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure. This result is among the deepest facts of classical harmonic analysis and is the basis for much of the work that has been done in this field since its publication in 1916.

A rich environment where the ideas of classical harmonic analysis have been applied is the theory of operator algebras and, in special, the theory of non-selfadjoint algebras. A paper by Arveson [1] for example contains a generalization of the inner-outer factorization theorem for analytic functions as well as Jensen’s inequality to the context of certain operator algebras called sub-diagonal algebras.

In the present paper we take another step in this direction proving an extension of the F. and M. Riesz theorem to $C^*$-algebras containing a special kind of non-selfadjoint sub algebras which we call analytic subalgebras. Analytic subalgebras are the $C^*$-counterparts of Arveson’s sub-diagonal algebras.

Generalizations of the F. and M. Riesz theorem appear quite often in the literature. The reader will find related results in [6] and [7]. We believe nevertheless that ours is the first such result in a non-commutative context.

Our desire to search for a generalized F. and M. Riesz theorem grew out of our interest in the theory of $C^*$-algebras of right ordered groups which, as much as Arveson’s theory of sub-diagonal algebras, presents a wide area where ideas of Classical Harmonic Analysis can be searched for.

The precise problem that motivated the present work came up in connection with our previous work on Hankel matrices over right ordered goups [4] and is described as follows.

Let $G$ be a discrete right ordered group and denote by $C^*_r(G)$ its reduced $C^*$-algebra. Let $CH_0^\infty(G)$ (resp. $H_0^\infty(G)$) be the norm closed (resp. ultra-weakly closed) algebra of

---
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operators on $\ell_2(G)$ generated by $\{\lambda(g) : g > e\}$ where $\lambda$ is the left regular representation of $G$. Note of course that $CH_0^\infty(G)$ and $H_0^\infty(G)$ are non-selfadjoint algebras.

Is it true that

$$\text{dist}(a, H_0^\infty(G)) = \text{dist}(a, CH_0^\infty(G))$$

for all $a$ in $C^*_r(G)$?

Although perhaps somewhat technical this turns out to be a deep question. When $G$ is the group of integers the answer is yes and it says that if $f$ is a continuous complex valued function on the unit disk then its distance to the classical Hardy space $H^\infty$ equals the distance from $f$ to the disk algebra $A(D)$. This fact is at the same time the key ingredient of the proof of Sarason’s theorem on the closedness of $H^\infty + C$ [11].

For the case of amenable groups the answer is also yes ([4], Theorem 14) and one can use it to generalize Sarason’s theorem: $C^*_r(G) + H_0^\infty(G)$ is closed.

We do not know whether the above distance formula holds for an arbitrary right ordered group. Nevertheless the methods introduced in the present work apply to give quite a clear picture of the general situation. If one denotes by $D(z)$ (resp. $d(z)$) the distance of $a + z$ to $H_0^\infty(G)$ (resp. to $CH_0^\infty(G)$) where $z$ is a complex number, we shall prove that $d = D$ except possibly on a convex open subset of the complex plane where $d$ is constant and attains its minimum.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first part we develop the necessary generalizations of absolute continuity and singularity for states (and, more generally, linear functionals) on $C^*$-algebras and their relationship to representation theory. After this is accomplished we present a non-commutative version of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem for measures. The results in this first part are not new and were first obtained by Henle [8]. In the second part we introduce the notion of analytic subalgebras and prove our main result, the F. and M. Riesz theorem for $C^*$-algebras. In the third and final part we present the application discussed above.

Parts of this work were communicated at the Harmonic Analysis Seminar, Universit`e de Paris-Sud, Centre D’Orsay on April 1987. The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. Miriam Dechamps for the opportunity to address this seminar.

**Part I - Lebesgue Decomposition.** In this first part we shall be concerned with non-commutative generalizations of some aspects of measure theory which will lead us to a theorem on decomposition of linear functionals on $C^*$-algebras resembling the Lebesgue decomposition theorem for measures. See also [8]. For this purpose we must first define absolute continuity and singularity for a pair of linear functionals on a given $C^*$-algebra. We shall do so based on the following observation: given two finite measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ on a space $X$, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\nu$ to be absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ is that integration with respect to $\nu$ gives a normal linear functional on $L^\infty(X, \mu)$. 
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Let $A$ be a unital $C^*$-algebra. It is well known that the enveloping von Neumann algebra $A''$ of $A$ is naturally isomorphic to the second dual of $A$ and moreover that every continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $A$ has a unique normal extension $\phi''$ to $A''$ with the same norm ([9], 3.7.8).

Whenever $\phi$ is a continuous linear functional on $A$ we let $|\phi|$ be its absolute value ([2], 12.2.8) and $(\pi_\phi, H_\phi, \xi_\phi)$ be the GNS representation of $A$ constructed from $|\phi|$. Given such a $\phi$ we denote by $A''_\phi$ the weak closure of the range of $\pi_\phi$. By the universal property of $A''$ ([9], 3.7.7) there exists exactly one normal epimorphism $\pi''_\phi$ from $A''$ to $A''_\phi$ extending $\pi_\phi$.

Since $\pi''_\phi$ is normal, its kernel is a weakly closed ideal of $A''$ so there exists a unique central idempotent $e_\phi$ in $A''$ such that $Ker(\pi''_\phi) = (1 - e_\phi)A''$.

One may easily verify that $\phi''(x) = \phi''(xe_\phi)$ for all $x$ in $A''$. The restriction of $\pi''_\phi$ to $e_\phi A''$ is then an isomorphism onto $A''_\phi$ whose inverse we denote by $\rho_\phi$. It follows that $\pi''_\phi \rho_\phi$ is the identity on $A''_\phi$ while

$$\rho_\phi \pi''_\phi(x) = e_\phi x$$

for all $x$ in $A''$.

1. PROPOSITION. If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are continuous linear functionals on $A$ then the following are equivalent.

   i) $e_\phi \leq e_\psi$
   ii) $\phi'' = 0$ on $(1 - e_\psi)A''$
   iii) There exists a normal linear functional $\bar{\phi}$ on $A''_\psi$ such that $\bar{\phi} \pi_\psi = \phi$.
   iv) $\pi_\phi$ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of the direct sum of infinitely many copies of $\pi_\psi$.

   If $\phi$ is positive then (i) through (iv) are equivalent to

   v) $\phi''(1 - e_\psi) = 0$.

   PROOF. To prove that (i) implies (ii) let $x$ be in $A''$. Then

   $$\phi''((1 - e_\psi)x) = \phi''((1 - e_\psi)xe_\phi) = \phi''(0) = 0.$$ 

   Next assume (ii) and define $\bar{\phi}$ on $A''_\psi$ by $\bar{\phi} = \phi'' \rho_\psi$. It is clear that $\bar{\phi}$ is normal. We have for all $a$ in $A$

   $$\bar{\phi} \pi_\psi(a) = \phi'' \rho_\psi \pi_\psi(a) = \phi'' \rho_\psi \pi''_\phi(a) = \phi''(ae_\psi) = \phi''(a) = \phi(a).$$
So (iii) follows.

If $\tilde{\phi}$ is as in (iii) then there exists a square summable sequence $(\xi_n)$ of elements in $H_\psi$ such that

$$|\tilde{\phi}|(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \quad \forall x \in A''.$$ 

The vector $\xi = (\xi_n)$ is then in the space $H_\psi^\infty$, the direct sum of infinitely many copies of $H_\psi$, and we have for all $a$ in $A$

$$|\phi|(a) = |\tilde{\phi}|(\pi_\psi(a)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \pi_\psi(a)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle = \langle \pi_\psi^\infty(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

where $\pi_\psi^\infty$ is the direct sum of infinitely many copies of $\pi_\psi$. Therefore $\pi_\phi$ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\pi_\psi^\infty$.

To prove that (iv) implies (i) let $V$ be the subspace of $H_\psi^\infty$ corresponding to the subrepresentation $\pi_\phi$. Then

$$\pi_\phi''(1 - e_\psi) = \pi_\psi^\infty''(1 - e_\psi)|_V = 0.$$ 

Therefore $1 - e_\psi$ is in $\text{Ker}(\pi_\phi'')$ so $1 - e_\psi \leq 1 - e_\phi$ proving that $e_\phi \leq e_\psi$.

Now assume that $\phi$ is positive. Given that $\phi''(1 - e_\psi) = 0$ we have for all $x$ in $A''$

$$|\phi''((1 - e_\psi)x)| \leq \phi''(1 - e_\psi)^{1/2} \phi''(x^*x)^{1/2} = 0$$

proving that (v) implies (ii). The converse is clear. \hfill \Box

2. DEFINITION. If the equivalent conditions of the Proposition above are satisfied we say that $\phi$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\psi$ and write $\phi \ll \psi$.

Note that by (iii) the set of all $\phi$'s which are absolutely continuous with respect to $\psi$ is in correspondence with the predual of $A''_\psi$, a fact that is somewhat related to the Radon-Nikodym theorem. A deeper relation will be provided by Theorem (4) below. Before that we need the following result (compare [5], p.219).

3. LEMMA. Let $W$ be a von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $H$. Suppose there is a vector $\xi$ in $H$ whose associated vector state is a faithful trace on $W$. Then

a) every normal state on $W$ is a vector state,

b) every normal linear functional on $W$ is of the form $\phi(x) = \langle x(\zeta), \eta \rangle$ where $\zeta$ and $\eta$ are vectors in $H$ and
c) the weak and $\sigma$-weak topologies coincide on $W$.

Proof. It is clear that (a) implies (b) (by polar decomposition [2], 12.2.4) and (c) so it is enough to prove (a).

Let $\tau$ be defined for all $a$ in $W$ by $\tau(a) = \langle a\xi, \xi \rangle$.

Given a normal state $\phi$ on $W$ we have that for all $x \geq 0$ in $W$

\[
\tau(x) = 0 \implies \phi(x) = 0
\]

since $\tau$ is faithful by hypothesis. Therefore by the (non-commutative) Radon-Nikodym theorem (see [9], 5.3.11 and 5.3.12, [3] and [12]) there exists a (possibly unbounded) positive operator $h$ affiliated with $W$ such that

\[
\phi(x) = \tau(hx)
\]

for all $x \geq 0$ in $W$. The meaning of $\tau(hx)$ above should perhaps be better explained. Let $p_n$ be the spectral projection of $h$ corresponding to the interval $[n-1, n]$. Then $k_n = h^{1/2}p_n$ is in $W_+$. For all $x$ in $W_+$ we define

\[
\tau(hx) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(k_n x k_n).
\]

Observe that

\[
\phi(1) = \tau(h1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(k_n^2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|k_n(\xi)\|^2.
\]

therefore, since the vectors $k_n(\xi)$ are mutually orthogonal, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n(\xi)$ is summable. Let $\eta$ be its sum. We then have for all $x \geq 0$ in $W$

\[
\langle x(\eta), \eta \rangle = \sum_n \sum_m \langle xk_n(\xi), k_m(\xi) \rangle = \sum_n \sum_m \tau(k_m x k_n)
\]

\[
= \sum_n \tau(k_n x k_n) = \tau(hx) = \phi(x).
\]

Our next result describes the linear functionals which are absolutely continuous with respect to a trace.

4. Theorem. Let $\tau$ be a positive trace on $A$. Then for every continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $A$ the following are equivalent

i) $\phi$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\tau$. 
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ii) $\pi_\phi$ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of $\pi_\tau$.

iii) there is a vector $\zeta$ in $H_\tau$ such that for all $a$ in $A$

$$|\phi|(a) = \langle \pi_\tau(a)\zeta, \zeta \rangle.$$  

*In this case there exists another vector $\eta$ in $H_\tau$ such that*

$$\phi(a) = \langle \pi_\tau(a)\zeta, \eta \rangle$$

*for all $a$ in $A$.*

**Proof.** Clearly (iii) implies (ii) and (ii) implies (i) so it is enough to prove that (i) implies (iii). Define $\bar{\tau}$ on $A''_\tau$ by

$$\bar{\tau}(x) = \langle x(\xi_\tau), \xi_\tau \rangle.$$  

Then it is clear that $\bar{\tau}$ is a normal trace on $A''_\tau$. Observe that $\bar{\tau}$ is faithful because if $x$ is in $A''_\tau$ and $\bar{\tau}(x^*x) = 0$ then for all $a$ and $b$ in $A$

$$|\langle x \pi_\tau(a)\xi_\tau, \pi_\tau(b)\xi_\tau \rangle| = |\bar{\tau}(\pi_\tau(b)^* x \pi_\tau(a))| = |\bar{\tau}(\pi_\tau(a)\pi_\tau(b)^* x)|$$

$$\leq \bar{\tau}(x^*x)^{1/2} \tau(\pi_\tau(a)\pi_\tau(b)^* \pi_\tau(b)\pi_\tau(a)^*)^{1/2} = 0$$

whence $x = 0$. Let $\bar{\phi}$ be as in (1.iii). If we now use Lemma (3) we are able to find $\zeta$ in $H_\tau$ such that

$$|\phi|(a) = |\bar{\phi}|(\pi_\tau(a)) = \langle \pi_\tau(a)\zeta, \zeta \rangle.$$  

The last part follows from the polar decomposition applied to $\phi''$. $\square$

We now study the notion of mutual singularity of linear functionals.

5. **Proposition.** Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be continuous linear functionals on $A$. Then the following are equivalent.

i) $e_\phi e_\psi = 0$

ii) $\phi'' = 0$ on $e_\psi A''$

iii) $\psi'' = 0$ on $e_\phi A''$

iv) $\pi_\phi$ and $\pi_\psi$ are disjoint representations of $A$ (cf. [2], 5.2.2).

If $\phi$ (resp. $\psi$) is positive then the conditions above are again equivalent to

v) $\phi''(e_\psi) = 0$ (resp. $\psi''(e_\phi) = 0$).
Proof. For all $x$ in $A''$

$$
\phi''(e_{\psi}x) = \phi''(e_{\psi}xe_{\phi})
$$

so (i) implies (ii). To prove the converse of this implication let $\phi'' = u|\phi''|$ be the polar decomposition of $\phi''$. Then for all $x$ and $y$ in $A''$

$$
\langle \pi''(e_{\psi})\pi''(x)\xi_{\phi}, \pi''(y)\xi_{\phi} \rangle = |\phi''|(y^*e_{\psi}x) = \phi''(u^*y^*e_{\psi}x) = \phi''(e_{\psi}u^*y^*x) = 0.
$$

So $\pi''(e_{\psi}) = 0$ hence $e_{\psi} \leq 1 - e_{\phi}$ proving (i).

We next prove that (i) implies (iv). For this consider the representation $\pi = \pi_{\phi} \oplus \pi_{\psi}$ of $A$. Let $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ be the projections in the commutator $\pi(A)'$ of $\pi(A)$ corresponding to $\pi_{\phi}$ and $\pi_{\psi}$ respectively.

According to ([2], 5.2.1) we must prove that the central supports of $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ belong to $\pi(A)'$. This says that $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ are respectively identical to their central supports which are then mutually orthogonal.

The proof that (iv) implies (i) goes as follows. Given that $\pi_{\phi}$ and $\pi_{\psi}$ are disjoint, if we let $\pi = \pi_{\phi} \oplus \pi_{\psi}$ with corresponding projections $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$, we have that the central supports of $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ are orthogonal. But since $f_{\phi} + f_{\psi} = 1$ it follows that $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ coincide, respectively, with their central supports hence $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ belong to $\pi(A)'' = \pi''(A'')$. We may therefore find an orthogonal pair of projections $E_{\phi}$ and $E_{\psi}$ in $A''$ which are mapped by $\pi''$ to $f_{\phi}$ and $f_{\psi}$ respectively (note that lifting of mutually orthogonal projections through an epimorphism of von Neumann algebras is always possible). In other words we have

$$
\pi''(E_{\phi}) = 1, \quad \pi''(E_{\psi}) = 0,
$$

$$
\pi_{\phi}'(E_{\phi}) = 0, \quad \pi_{\psi}'(E_{\psi}) = 1.
$$

Therefore $\pi_{\phi}'(1 - E_{\phi}) = 0$ so $1 - E_{\phi} \in (1 - e_{\phi})A''$ hence $e_{\phi} \leq E_{\phi}$.

Similarly $e_{\psi} \leq E_{\psi}$. Therefore $e_{\phi}$ and $e_{\psi}$ are mutually orthogonal.

The remaining implications are of easy verification and are left to the reader. \hfill \Box

6. Definition. If the conditions above are satisfied we say that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are mutually singular and write $\phi \perp \psi$.

Our next result is a non-commutative analogue of the Lebesgue decomposition theorem for measures. It was first obtained by Henle in [8].
7. Proposition. Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be continuous linear functionals on $A$. Then $\phi$ can be uniquely decomposed as a sum

$$\phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi_\sigma$$

where $\phi_\alpha \ll \psi$ and $\phi_\sigma \perp \psi$.

Moreover if $\phi'' = u|\phi''|$, $\phi_\alpha'' = u_\alpha|\phi_\alpha''|$ and $\phi_\sigma'' = u_\sigma|\phi_\sigma''|$ are the corresponding polar decompositions we have

i) $|\phi| = |\phi_\alpha| + |\phi_\sigma|$, $u_\alpha = u e_\psi$, $u_\sigma = u(1 - e_\psi)$

ii) $\|\phi\| = \|\phi_\alpha\| + \|\phi_\sigma\|$  

iii) $|\phi_\alpha| = |\phi|_\alpha$  

iv) $|\phi_\sigma| = |\phi|_\sigma$

Proof. For all $a$ in $A$ define $\phi_\alpha(a) = \phi''(ae_\psi)$ and $\phi_\sigma(a) = \phi''(a(1 - e_\psi))$.

Clearly $\phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi_\sigma$. It is also clear that $\phi_\alpha''$ vanishes on $(1 - e_\psi)A''$ and that $\phi_\sigma''$ vanishes on $e_\psi A''$ so $\phi_\alpha \ll \psi$ and $\phi_\sigma \perp \psi$. If $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$ is another such decomposition then for all $a$ in $A$

$$\phi_1(a) = \phi_1''(ae_\psi) = \phi_1''(ae_\psi) + \phi_2''(ae_\psi) = \phi''(ae_\psi) = \phi_\alpha(a).$$

Thus $\phi_1 = \phi_\alpha$ and consequently $\phi_2 = \phi_\sigma$ proving the uniqueness of the decomposition.

Fact (i) follows from ([2], 12.2.4) and clearly (ii) follows from (i). Finally (iii) and (iv) are consequences of the uniqueness of the decomposition together with (i). ⊓⊔

8. Proposition. If $\phi$, $\phi_1$, $\phi_2$, $\psi$ and $\chi$ denote continuous linear functionals on $A$ we have

a) If $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are absolutely continuous (resp. singular) with respect to $\psi$ then so is any linear combination of $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$.

b) If $\phi \ll \psi$ and $\psi \perp \chi$ then $\phi \perp \chi$.

c) If $0 \leq \phi \leq \psi$ then $\phi \ll \psi$.

Proof. Follows from Propositions (1) and (5). ⊓⊔

Now consider a pair of mutually singular states $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ and put $\psi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$. Let $(\pi, H, \xi)$ be the GNS representation of $A$ associated to $\psi$. Because each $\phi_i \leq \psi$ there are positive operators $P_i$ in $\pi(A)'$ such that

$$\phi_i(a) = \langle P_i \pi(a) \xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $a$ in $A$.
9. **Lemma.** \( P_i = \pi''(e_{\phi_i}) \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). Hence \( P_i \) is a central projection in \( \pi(A)'' = A'''_\psi \). If \( j = 3 - i \) and \( \bar{\phi}_j \) is given by (1.iii) then \( \bar{\phi}_j(P_i) = 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( Q_i = \pi''(e_{\phi_i}) \). Then \( Q_i \) is a central projection in \( \pi(A)'' = A'''_\psi \) and for all \( a \) in \( A \)
\[
\langle Q_i \pi(a) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \pi''(e_{\phi_i}a) \xi, \xi \rangle = \psi''(e_{\phi_i}a) = \phi''_i(a) = \phi_i(a).
\]
From the uniqueness of \( P_i \) as defined above it follows that \( Q_i = P_i \). Note that \( \bar{\phi}_j \) is given by
\[
\bar{\phi}_j(x) = \langle P_j x(\xi), \xi \rangle
\]
for all \( x \) in \( A'''_\psi \) hence
\[
\bar{\phi}_j(P_i) = \langle \pi''(e_{\phi_i}) \pi''(e_{\phi_i}) \xi, \xi \rangle = 0
\]
because \( e_{\phi_i} e_{\phi_i} = 0 \). \( \square \)

10. **Lemma.** Let \( \tau \) be a positive trace on \( A \). Suppose \( \phi \) is a state on \( A \) which is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \tau \). If there exists a vector \( \zeta \) in \( H_\phi \) such that
\[
\langle \pi_\phi(a) \zeta, \zeta \rangle = \tau(a)
\]
for all \( a \) in \( A \) then \( \zeta \) is a cyclic vector for \( \pi_\phi \).

**Proof.** Let \( V_1 \) be the cyclic subspace of \( H_\phi \) generated by \( \zeta \). It is then clear that \( \pi_\phi|_{V_1} \) is equivalent to \( \pi_\tau \).

Since \( \phi \) is absolutely continuous with respect to \( \tau \) it follows by Theorem (4) that \( \pi_\phi \) is equivalent to a subrepresentation of \( \pi_\tau \). So \( H_\tau \) contains a subspace \( V_2 \) which is covariantly isomorphic to \( H_\phi \). If we identify \( H_\phi \) and \( V_2 \) we may write \( \pi_{\tau|_{V_1}} \approx \pi_\tau \). So there exists an isometry \( u \) from \( H_\tau \) to \( V_1 \) lying in the commutator of \( \pi_\tau \). But this commutator is anti-isomorphic to \( A'''_\tau \) by (a very special case of) the Tomita-Takesaki theory hence it is a finite von Neumann algebra. Therefore \( u \) must be a unitary operator. This shows that \( V_1 \) is equal to \( H_\tau \). In particular we have \( V_1 = H_\phi \) which is what we wanted to prove. \( \square \)

**Part II - The F. and M. Riesz Theorem.** Let \( A \) be a unital \( C^* \)-algebra equipped with a positive normalized trace \( \tau \). A subalgebra \( B \) of \( A \) is called *analytic* if \( B \) contains the unit of \( A \), \( B + B^* \) is dense in \( A \) and the restriction of \( \tau \) to \( B \) is multiplicative.

Although in some pathological examples \( B \) may be a selfadjoint subalgebra of \( A \) we are mostly interested in the case where \( B \) is not. The basic example of this situation (which the reader should keep in the back of his mind) is the following: \( A \) is the algebra of continuous functions on the unit circle, \( \tau \) is the trace corresponding to the Haar measure on the circle and \( B \) is the disc algebra, that is, the subalgebra of \( A \) consisting of all functions
that admit an analytic extension to the unit disc. Since, in this case, $B + B^*$ contains the trigonometric polynomials it is clear that $B + B^*$ is dense in $A$. Using the Cauchy integral formula one sees that the trace of an element of $B$ equals the value of its analytic extension at the origin from which one can easily verify that $\tau$ is multiplicative on $B$. It is through this example that our main results relate to the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem.

From now on we fix a unital $C^*$-algebra $A$ where a positive normalized trace $\tau$ is defined and let $B$ be a fixed analytic subalgebra of $A$.

Since $\tau|_B$ is multiplicative, its kernel, which we denote by $B_0$, is an ideal of $B$ hence a subalgebra of $A$. Clearly $B = B_0 + C1$.

Let $(\pi, H, \xi)$ denote the GNS representation of $A$ associated with $\tau$ and let $H^+ = \pi(B)\xi$ and $H^- = \pi(B_0^*)\xi$.

Observe that if $x \in B$ and $y \in B_0$ then
\[
\langle \pi(x)\xi, \pi(y^*)\xi \rangle = \tau(yx) = \tau(y)\tau(x) = 0.
\]

So $H^+$ and $H^-$ are orthogonal subspaces of $H$. Since $B + B_0^* = B + B^*$ is dense in $A$ it follows that $H = H^+ \oplus H^-$. 

11. **Definition.** A continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $A$ is called *analytic* if $\phi$ vanishes on $B_0$.

The followig is the main result of this paper. Compare [6] as well as [7] for similar results on (commutative) function algebras.

12. **Theorem.** Let $\phi$ be a continuous linear functional on $A$ and let $\phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi_\sigma$ be the Lebesgue decomposition of $\phi$ with respect to $\tau$. If $\phi$ is analytic then so are $\phi_\alpha$ and $\phi_\sigma$. Moreover $\phi_\sigma(1) = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $\psi = \tau + |\phi_\alpha| + |\phi_\sigma| = \tau + |\phi|$ and form the GNS representation $(\pi, H, \xi)$ associated with $\psi$. Let $V$ be the linear subspace of $H$ given by
\[
V = \pi(B_0)\xi
\]

It is clear that $V$ is invariant under $B_0$. By elementary Hilbert space techniques there exists a unique vector $\eta$ in $V$ which is closest to $\xi$. The vector $\xi - \eta$ is then orthogonal to $V$. 
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CLAIM 1. \[ \|\xi - \eta\| \geq 1. \]

Since \( \tau \leq \psi \) there exists a unique operator \( P \) in the commutator of \( \pi \) such that 
\[ 0 \leq P \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(a) = \langle P\pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle \]
for all \( a \) in \( A \).

For every vector \( \zeta \) of the form \( \zeta = \pi(b)\xi \) where \( b \) is in \( B_0 \) we have 
\[ \|\xi - \zeta\|^2 = \|P^{1/2}(\xi - \zeta)\|^2 = \langle P(\xi - \zeta), \xi - \zeta \rangle = \langle P\pi(1-b)\xi, \pi(1-b)\xi \rangle \]
\[ = \tau((1-b^\ast(1-b)) = \tau(1-b - b^* + b^*b) = 1 + \tau(b^*b) \geq 1 \]
since \( \tau(b) = \tau(b^*) = 0 \).

The set of all such \( \zeta \)'s is dense in \( V \) so \( \|\xi - \zeta\| \geq 1 \) for all \( \zeta \) in \( V \). This proves our claim.

Let \( c = \|\xi - \eta\| \).

CLAIM 2. \( \langle \pi(a)(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle = c^2\tau(a) \quad \forall a \in A. \)

Since \( \xi - \eta \) is orthogonal to \( V \) and since for all \( b \) in \( B_0 \) we have that \( \pi(b)(\xi - \eta) \) is in \( V \) it follows that 
\[ \langle \pi(b)(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle = 0 = c^2\tau(b) \]
for all \( b \in B_0 \). By taking adjoints we conclude that the above equality holds also for all \( b \in B_0^* \).

On the other hand
\[ \langle \pi(1)(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle = c^2 = c^2\tau(1). \]

This proves claim (2) since \( A \) is as the closure of \( B_0 + B_0^* + C1 \).

Since \( |\phi_\sigma| \leq \psi \) there exists a positive operator \( S \) commuting with the range of \( \pi \) such that 
\[ |\phi_\sigma|(a) = \langle S\pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle \]
for all \( a \) in \( A \).

CLAIM 3. \( S(\xi - \eta) = 0. \)

By (8.a&b) \( \tau + |\phi_\alpha| \) is singular with respect to \( |\phi_\sigma| \). Since \( \psi \) is the sum of \( \tau + |\phi_\alpha| \) and \( |\phi_\sigma| \) we may use Lemma (9) to conclude that \( S \) is a central projection in \( \pi(A)^{''} \) and that \( \bar{\tau}(S) = 0 \) (see (1.iii) for a definition of \( \bar{\tau} \)).
It is clear that $\bar{\tau}$ is given for every $x$ in $\pi(A)''$ by

$$\bar{\tau}(x) = c^{-2}\langle x(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle.$$  

So

$$0 = \bar{\tau}(S) = c^{-2}\langle S(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle = c^{-2}\|S(\xi - \eta)\|^2$$

proving claim (3).

Let $Q$ be the unique positive operator in the commutator of $\pi$ such that

$$|\phi_\alpha|(a) = \langle Q\pi(a)\xi, \xi \rangle$$

for all $a$ in $A$. Also let $\phi'' = u|\phi''|$ be the polar decomposition of $\phi''$.  

Recall that $\pi''$ denotes the unique normal extension of $\pi$ to $A''$.  

**Claim 4.**  

$$\langle Q\pi(b)(\xi - \eta), \pi''(u^*)\xi \rangle = 0$$

for all $b$ in $B_0$.

Write $\eta = \lim \pi(b_n)\xi$ where $(b_n)$ is a sequence in $B_0$. Then for all $b$ in $B_0$ we have

$$0 = \lim \phi(b(1 - b_n)) = \lim |\phi''|(ub(1 - b_n)) = \lim (|\phi''_\alpha| + |\phi''_\sigma|)(ub(1 - b_n))$$

$$= \lim \langle Q\pi''(ub(1 - b_n))\xi, \xi \rangle + \lim \langle S\pi''(ub(1 - b_n))\xi, \xi \rangle$$

$$= \langle Q\pi(b)(\xi - \eta), \pi''(u^*)\xi \rangle + \langle S\pi(b)(\xi - \eta), \pi''(u^*)\xi \rangle$$

$$= \langle Q\pi(b)(\xi - \eta), \pi''(u^*)\xi \rangle.$$  

This completes the proof of claim (4).

It is clear that $P + Q + S = 1$ and we know by Lemma (9) that both $S$ and $P + Q$ are central projections in $\pi(A)''$. Also from Lemma (9) it follows that $P + Q = \pi''(e_\tau)$. This gives a decomposition of $\pi$ in the direct sum of two cyclic subrepresentations $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ corresponding, respectively, to $P + Q$ and $S$. Let $H_1 = (P + Q)H$ so $H_1$ is the space of $\pi_1$.

A cyclic vector for $\pi_1$ is clearly $\xi_1 = (P + Q)\xi$. Observe that $S(\xi - \eta) = 0$ implies that $\xi - \eta$ is in $H_1$.

**Claim 5.** There exists a unitary operator $U$ on $H_1$, commuting with $\pi_1$, such that

$$UP^{1/2}\xi_1 = c^{-1}(\xi - \eta).$$  

The vector state associated to $\xi_1$ is clearly $\tau + |\phi_\alpha|$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to $\tau$.  
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Since $\langle \pi_1(a)(\xi - \eta), \xi - \eta \rangle = c^2 \tau(a)$ for all $a$ in $A$ we may apply Lemma (10) to conclude that $c^{-1}(\xi - \eta)$ is cyclic for $\pi_1$. This implies in particular that $\pi_1$ is equivalent to $\pi_\tau$.

Another application of Lemma (10) proves that $P^{1/2}\xi_1$ is also a cyclic vector for $\pi_1$ since

$$\langle \pi_1(a)P^{1/2}\xi_1, P^{1/2}\xi_1 \rangle = \tau(a)$$

for all $a$ in $A$.

Put together, these last two facts imply that the cyclic representations $(\pi_1, c^{-1}(\xi - \eta))$ and $(\pi_1, P^{1/2}\xi_1)$ are equivalent in the sense that the equivalence preserves the prescribed cyclic vectors. This proves claim (5).

**Claim 6.** $\xi_1$ is in the closure of $UP^{1/2}(V + C\xi)$.

The key fact to prove this last claim is that $(\pi_1, P^{1/2}\xi_1)$ is equivalent to $(\pi_\tau, \xi_\tau)$ as cyclic representations. This of course follows from claim (5).

Let $H_1^+$ and $H_1^-$ be given by

$$H_1^+ = \pi_1(B)P^{1/2}\xi_1 = \pi_1(B_0 + C1)P^{1/2}\xi_1$$

$$H_1^- = \pi_1(B_0^*)P^{1/2}\xi_1$$

From the observation above we may conclude that $H_1$ is the orthogonal direct sum of $H_1^+$ and $H_1^-$.

For all $b$ in $B_0$ we have

$$\langle U^*\xi_1, \pi_1(b^*)P^{1/2}\xi_1 \rangle = \langle \pi_1(b)\xi_1, UP^{1/2}\xi_1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle \pi(b)\xi, UP^{1/2}\xi_1 \rangle = c^{-1}\langle \pi(b)\xi, \xi - \eta \rangle = 0$$

since $\pi(b)\xi$ is in $V$ and $\xi - \eta$ is orthogonal to $V$. We then conclude that $U^*\xi_1$ is orthogonal to $H_1^-$ so $U^*\xi_1$ is in $H_1^+$. We may then write

$$U^*\xi_1 = \lim \pi_1(\lambda_n + b_n)P^{1/2}\xi_1$$

where the $\lambda_n$’s are complex numbers and the $b_n$’s are in $B_0$. So

$$\xi_1 = \lim UP^{1/2}\pi_1(\lambda_n + b_n)\xi_1 = \lim UP^{1/2}\pi(\lambda_n + b_n)\xi$$

proving claim (6).

**Claim 7.** $\phi_\alpha$ and $\phi_\sigma$ are analytic.
Let \( \phi''_{\alpha} = u_{\alpha}|\phi''_{\alpha}| \) be the polar decomposition of \( \phi''_{\alpha} \). We know from Proposition (7) that \( u_{\alpha} = u_{e_{\tau}} \). Write
\[
\xi_1 = \lim U P^{1/2} \pi(\lambda_n + b_n)) \xi
\]
as above. For all \( b \) in \( B_0 \) we have
\[
\phi_{\alpha}(b) = \phi''_{\alpha}(b) = |\phi''_{\alpha}|(u_{\alpha}b) = \langle Q \pi''(u_{\alpha}) \pi(b) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle Q \pi(b) \xi_1, \pi''(u_{\alpha}^*) \xi \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim \langle Q \pi(b) U P^{1/2} \pi(\lambda_n + b_n) \xi, \pi''(u_{\alpha}^*) \xi \rangle
\]
\[
= c^{-1} \lim \langle Q \pi(b(\lambda_n + b_n)) (\xi - \eta), \pi''(u_{\alpha}^*) \xi \rangle
\]
\[
= c^{-1} \lim \langle Q \pi''(e_{\tau}) \pi(b(\lambda_n + b_n)) (\xi - \eta), \pi''(u_{\alpha}^*) \xi \rangle
\]
\[
\]
Recall that \( \pi''(e_{\tau}) = P + Q \) so \( Q \pi''(e_{\tau}) = Q \). The above then equals
\[
c^{-1} \lim \langle Q \pi(b(\lambda_n + b_n)) (\xi - \eta), \pi''(u_{\alpha}^*) \xi \rangle
\]
which is zero by claim (4).

This proves that \( \phi_{\alpha} \) is analytic and therefore that \( \phi_{\sigma} \) is analytic too.

**Claim 8.** \( \phi_{\sigma}(1) = 0. \)

Let \( \phi''_{\sigma} = u_{\sigma}|\phi''_{\sigma}| \) be the polar decomposition of \( \phi''_{\sigma} \). Write \( \eta = \lim \pi(b_n) \xi \) with \( b_n \) in \( B_0 \) and note that by claim (7)
\[
0 = \lim \phi_{\sigma}(b_n) = \lim \phi''_{\sigma}(b_n) = \lim |\phi''_{\sigma}|(u_{\sigma}b_n) = \lim \langle S \pi''(u_{\sigma}b_n) \xi, \xi \rangle
\]
\[
= \lim \langle S \pi''(u_{\sigma}) \pi(b_n) \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle S \pi''(u_{\sigma}) \eta, \xi \rangle
\]
By claim (3) we have \( S(\eta) = S(\xi) \) so
\[
0 = \langle S \pi''(u_{\sigma}) \xi, \xi \rangle = |\phi''_{\sigma}|(u_{\sigma}) = \phi''_{\sigma}(1) = \phi_{\sigma}(1).
\]

\( \square \)

A group \( G \) is said to be right ordered if \( G \) is equipped with a linear order which is invariant under multiplication on the right by elements of \( G \).

Given a discrete group \( G \) we let \( C^*_{(r)}(G) \) be either the reduced or the full \( C^* \)-algebra of \( G \). The canonical trace on \( C^*_{(r)}(G) \) will be denoted by \( \tau. \)
To each group element $g$ there corresponds, in a canonical way, a unitary element $U_g$ in $C^*_r(G)$ in such a way that the map $g \in G \mapsto U_g \in C^*_r(G)$ is a representation of $G$. For further references to the theory of group $C^*$-algebras the reader should consult [9].

13. **Corollary.** Let $G$ be a discrete right ordered group and let $\phi$ be a continuous linear functional on $C^*_r(G)$. Write the Lebesgue decomposition of $\phi$ with respect to $\tau$ as $\phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi_\sigma$. If $\phi(U_g) = 0$ for all $g > e$ ($e$ denoting the identity element of $G$) then

i) $\phi_\alpha(U_g) = \phi_\sigma(U_g) = 0$ for all $g > e$ and

ii) $\phi_\sigma(1) = 0$.

**Proof.** Let $B$ be the (non-selfadjoint) subalgebra of $C^*_r(G)$ generated by \{ $U_g : g \geq e$ \} and apply Theorem (12). \qed

Concluding this section we should mention an example to show that the classical version of the F. and M. Riesz theorem does not apply in full generality (that is, one cannot conclude that $\phi$ is absolutely continuous in the theorem above). Consider the direct sum of two copies of the group of all integers with lexicographic order. The $C^*$-algebra of this group is the algebra of continuous functions on the 2-torus. On this algebra consider the linear functional $\phi$ given by

$$\phi(f) = \int f(z,1) z \, dz$$

where $z$ denotes the first torus variable and $dz$ is the Haar measure on the circle.

The reader may easily verify that $\phi$ is analytic and singular thus contradicting what should be expected from the classical F. and M. Riesz theorem applied to this case.

**Part III - A Distance Formula.** In this final section we shall present an application of Theorem (12) above. Fix throughout a $C^*$-algebra $A$, a positive normalized trace $\tau$ on $A$ and an analytic subalgebra $B$ of $A$. Denote by $B_0$ the kernel of $\tau|_B$ and by $\pi$ the GNS representation of $A$ associated with $\tau$.

Let $A$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by the range of $\pi$. Also let $B_0$ be the ultra-weak closure of $\pi(B_0)$. For a fixed $a$ in $A$ let for every complex number $z$

$$d(z) = \text{dist}(a+z, B_0)$$

and

$$D(z) = \text{dist}(\pi(a)+z, B_0).$$
We propose to prove the following

14. **Theorem.** There exist a (possibly empty) convex open subset $\Omega$ of the complex plane such that

i) $\Omega \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 2\|a\|\}$.

ii) For $z \in \Omega$, $D(z) < d(z) = \inf\{d(w) : w \in \mathbb{C}\}$.

iii) For $z \notin \Omega$, $D(z) = d(z)$.

The proof will be presented in a number of steps. Initially observe that for $b$ in $B_0$ and $a$ in $A$ one has

$$\text{dist}(\pi(a), B_0) \leq \text{dist}(\pi(a), \pi(B_0)) \leq \|\pi(a) - \pi(b)\| \leq \|a - b\|$$

so it is clear that $\text{dist}(\pi(a), B_0) \leq \text{dist}(a, B_0)$.

A standard use of the Hahn-Banach extension theorem provides a continuous linear functional $\phi$ on $A$ of norm one which vanish on $B_0$ and such that $\phi(a) = \text{dist}(a, B_0)$. Let $\phi = \phi_\alpha + \phi_\sigma$ be its Lebesgue decomposition with respect to $\tau$ as in Proposition (7). Applying Theorem (12) we conclude that $\phi_\alpha$ and $\phi_\sigma$ both vanish on $B_0$ and moreover that $\phi_\sigma(1) = 0$.

15. **Lemma.** $|\phi_\alpha(a)| = \|\phi_\alpha\|\text{dist}(a, B_0)$.

**Proof.** Let $\epsilon$ be positive and choose $b$ in $B_0$ such that $\|a - b\| \leq \text{dist}(a, B_0) + \epsilon$. We have

$$\text{dist}(a, B_0) = |\phi(a)| \leq |\phi_\alpha(a)| + |\phi_\sigma(a)| = |\phi_\alpha(a - b)| + |\phi_\sigma(a - b)|$$

$$\leq \|\phi_\alpha\|\|a - b\| + \|\phi_\sigma\|\|a - b\|$$

$$\leq \|\phi_\alpha\|(\text{dist}(a, B_0) + \epsilon) + \|\phi_\sigma\|(\text{dist}(a, B_0) + \epsilon) = \text{dist}(a, B_0) + \epsilon.$$

It follows that $\|\phi_\alpha\|\text{dist}(a, B_0) \leq |\phi_\alpha(a)|$. Since the converse inequality is obviously true the Lemma is proved. $\Box$

16. **Lemma.** If $\phi_\alpha \neq 0$ then $\text{dist}(a, B_0) = \text{dist}(\pi(a), B_0)$.

**Proof.** Given that $\phi_\alpha \neq 0$ let $\psi = \|\phi_\alpha\|^{-1}\phi_\alpha$ so that $\psi$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\tau$, has norm one, vanish on $B_0$ and satisfy $|\psi(a)| = \text{dist}(a, B_0)$. In other words, we may have taken $\phi$ to be absolutely continuous at the start. Therefore by Proposition (1) there exists a normal linear functional $\overline{\psi}$ on $A$ with norm one such that $\overline{\psi}\pi = \psi$. It follows that $\overline{\psi}(B_0) = 0$ so for all $x$ in $B_0$ we have

$$\|\pi(a) - x\| \geq |\overline{\psi}(\pi(a) - x)| = |\overline{\psi}(\pi(a))| = |\psi(a)| = \text{dist}(a, B_0)$$
whence $\text{dist}(\pi(a), B_0) \geq \text{dist}(a, B_0)$ concluding the proof. \hfill \Box$

Denote for every complex number $z$, $a_z = a + z$.

17. Lemma. If $|z| > 2\|a\|$ then

$$\text{dist}(a_z, B_0) = \text{dist}(\pi(a_z), B_0).$$

Proof. Let $\phi$ be a norm one continuous linear functional on $A$, vanishing on $B_0$, such that $|\phi(a_z)| = \text{dist}(a_z, B_0)$. In view of the last Lemma it clearly suffices to show that $\phi_a \neq 0$. Suppose this is not the case so that $\|\phi_a\| = 1$ and $|\phi_a(a_z)| = \text{dist}(a_z, B_0)$. Recall that by Theorem (12) we have $\phi_a(1) = 0$ so

$$\text{dist}(a_z, B_0) = |\phi_a(a_z)| = |\phi_a(a)| \leq \|a\|.$$ 

On the other hand

$$\text{dist}(a_z, B_0) = \text{dist}(a + z, B_0) \geq \text{dist}(z, B_0) - \text{dist}(a, B_0) \geq |z| - \|a\|.$$ 

Comparing the last two inequalities we conclude that $|z| \leq 2\|a\|$ contradicting the hypothesis. \hfill \Box

Observe that we have just proven that for a sufficiently large $z$ one has $d(z) = D(z)$. Otherwise we have the following

18. Lemma. Suppose $d(z) \neq D(z)$. Then $d$ attains its minimum at $z$.

Proof. Choose $\phi$ as in the proof above. By Lemma (16) we must have $\phi_a = 0$. Observe that for every complex number $\mu$ and for every $b$ in $B_0$

$$d(z) = \text{dist}(a + z, B_0) = |\phi_a(a + z)| = |\phi_a(a + \mu - b)| \leq \|a + \mu - b\|.$$ 

Taking the infimum for $b$ in $B_0$ we get $d(z) \leq \text{dist}(a + \mu, B_0) = d(\mu)$. \hfill \Box

Collecting our previous results we may now prove Theorem (14).

Proof of Theorem 14. Let $k = \inf\{d(w) : w \in \mathbf{C}\}$ and $\Omega = \{w \in \mathbf{C} : D(w) < k\}$. It is clear that $\Omega$ is open and convex (even if it is empty). For $z$ in $\Omega$ we have $D(z) < k \leq d(z)$ so Lemma (18) gives $d(z) = k$ and Lemma (17) gives $|z| \leq 2\|a\|$.

For $z$ not in $\Omega$ we must have $d(z) = D(z)$ since otherwise Lemma (18) would imply that $D(z) < d(z) = k$ which would say that $z \in \Omega$. \hfill \Box
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Unfortunately we have not been able to find an example to show that \( \Omega \) may be non-empty. One could therefore conjecture that \( \Omega \) is always empty, a fact which can be rephrased as \( \text{dist}(a, B_0) = \text{dist}(\pi(a), B_0) \) for every \( a \) in \( A \). This would certainly be a much nicer result since it would imply Sarason’s theorem as mentioned in the introduction.

Concluding let’s study the case of right ordered groups. If \( G \) is such a group let \( \lambda \) be its left regular representation on \( \ell_2(G) \). Denote by \( CH_0^\infty(G) \) (resp. \( H_0^\infty(G) \)) the norm closed (resp. ultra-weakly closed) algebra of operators on \( \ell_2(G) \) generated by \( \{ \lambda(g) : g \in G \} \). As an immediate consequence of Theorem (14) we have the following

19. **Corollary.** For every \( a \) in the reduced group \( C^* \)-algebra of \( G \) we have

\[
\text{dist}(a + z, CH_0^\infty(G)) = \text{dist}(a + z, H_0^\infty(G))
\]

except possibly for \( z \) in a convex open subset \( \Omega \subset \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w| \leq 2\|a\| \} \) where \( \text{dist}(a + z, CH_0^\infty(G)) \) attains its minimum.
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